



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

1991-09

Three dimensional pursuit guidance and control of submersible vehicles

Papasotiriou, Evangelos G.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/26808>



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THESIS

THREE DIMENSIONAL PURSUIT GUIDANCE AND
CONTROL OF SUBMERSIBLE VEHICLES

by

Evangelos G. Papasotiriou

September, 1991

Thesis Advisor:

Fotis A. Papoulas

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 69	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School	
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000	
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		Program Element No	Project No
		Task No	Work Unit Accession Number
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) THREE DIMENSIONAL PURSUIT GUIDANCE AND CONTROL OF SUBMERSIBLE VEHICLES			
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Evangelos G. Papasotiriou			
13a TYPE OF REPORT Master's Thesis	13b TIME COVERED From To	14 DATE OF REPORT (year, month, day) September 1991	15 PAGE COUNT 112
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.			
17 COSATI CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUBGROUP	
		Submersible, autopilot, path, stability, guidance.	
19 ABSTRACT (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A pure pursuit guidance law is combined with a heading autopilot to provide accurate path keeping of submersible vehicles. The scheme is implemented and analyzed in both the horizontal and vertical planes. A complete stability analysis is performed in order to evaluate regions of stable vehicle operations. Numerical integrations support the analytic predictions. Two distinct stability boundaries are established. In the first, the vehicle loss of stability is accompanied by the generation of oscillatory motions around the commanded path. In the second, loss of stability occurs with linearly increasing path deviation. The horizontal and vertical plane schemes are combined with a propulsion control law in order to achieve path tracking of a general commanded route composed of several straight line segments in three dimensional space.			
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED:UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Fotis A. Papoulas		22b TELEPHONE (Include Area code) (408) 646-3381	22c OFFICE SYMBOL 69Pa

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Three dimensional pursuit guidance and control of submersible vehicles .

by

Evangelos G. Papasotiriou
Lieutenant, Hellenic Navy

Submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
September 1991

ABSTRACT

A pure pursuit guidance law is combined with a heading autopilot to provide accurate path keeping of submersible vehicles. The scheme is implemented and analyzed in both the horizontal and vertical planes. A complete stability analysis is performed in order to evaluate regions of stable vehicle operations. Numerical integrations support the analytic predictions. Two distinct stability boundaries are established. In the first, the vehicle loss of stability is accompanied by the generation of oscillatory motions around the commanded path. In the second, loss of stability occurs with linearly increasing path deviation. The horizontal and vertical plane schemes are combined with a propulsion control law in order to achieve path tracking of a general commanded route composed of several straight line segments in three dimensional space.

10313
P14/736
C.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	HORIZONTAL PLANE	5
A.	EQUATIONS OF MOTION	5
B.	CONTROL LAW	6
1.	ZERO YAW ANGLE	7
2.	NON ZERO YAW ANGLE	9
C.	GUIDANCE	11
D.	STABILITY	12
E.	SIMULATIONS	17
III.	VERTICAL PLANE	19
A.	EQUATIONS OF MOTION	19
B.	CONTROL LAW	20
1.	ZERO PITCH ANGLE	22
2.	NON ZERO PITCH ANGLE	27
C.	GUIDANCE LAW	30
1.	HORIZONTAL PATH	30
2.	INCLINED PATH	31
D.	STABILITY	31
1.	REGIONS OF STABILITY	33
2.	SIMULATIONS	35

1. REGIONS OF STABILITY	33
2. SIMULATIONS	35
E. ANALYSIS	40
F. STEADY STATE SOLUTIONS	48
IV THREE DIMENSIONAL GUIDANCE CONTROL	56
A. PROPULSION CONTROL	56
B. THREE DIMENSIONAL PATH KEEPING	59
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	71
APPENDIX A	73
APPENDIX B	90
APPENDIX C	96
LIST OF REFERENCES	101
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	103

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Horizontal plane geometry	10
Figure 2.	Regions of stability in the horizontal plane	16
Figure 3.	Stable and unstable numerical simulations .	18
Figure 4.	Vertical plane geometry: Horizontal commanded path	24
Figure 5.	Vertical plane geometry: Inclined commanded path	28
Figure 6.	Regions of stability for $u=5$ ft/sec and $z_{GB}=0.1$ ft	34
Figure 7.	Numerical simulations in region 2	36
Figure 8.	Numerical simulations in region 1	37
Figure 9.	Numerical simulation in region 3	38
Figure 10.	Regions of stability for $z_{GB}=0$ and for any speed u	39
Figure 11.	Regions of stability for $z_{GB}=0.1$ ft . . .	45
Figure 12.	Regions of stability for $u = 2$ ft/sec . .	46
Figure 13.	Critical value of t_v versus u and z_{GB} . . .	47
Figure 14.	Steady state pitch angle θ versus z_{GB} . . .	52
Figure 15.	Steady state dive plane angle δ versus z_{GB}	53
Figure 16.	Steady state θ versus z_{GB} for several values of t_v	54
Figure 17.	Steady state θ versus z_{GB} for several values of u	55

Figure 18. Coordinate transformation for 3-D path
 keeping 63

Figure 19. Horizontal plane rotation 64

Figure 20. Vertical plane rotation 65

Figure 21. Numerical simulation for 3-D path keeping . 66

Figure 22. Time history of vehicle speed u 67

Figure 23. Time history of propeller revolutions per
 minute 68

Figure 24. Time history of rudder angle 69

Figure 25. Time history of dive plane angle 70

I INTRODUCTION

One of the most significant functions of an underwater vehicle is accurate path control for transiting along prescribed routes in three dimensional space. The commanded path is usually described by a series of way points in space and time either by the commander or by a path planner function in the case of an unmanned vehicle. Without significant loss of generality we can assume that the commanded path can be approximated by straight line segments between consecutive way points. This assumption does not alter the important features of the path keeping problem since every smooth path can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a series of straight line segments. Once a desired straight line path has been generated, the vehicle guidance and autopilot functions are called upon to ensure satisfactory path keeping through the use of the vehicle actuators.

One way to ensure that the vehicle goes through a specified sequence of way points is by using a heading autopilot coupled with a line of sight guidance scheme [1]. The scheme proved to be robust enough so that when coupled with an independently developed depth autopilot [2], accurate depth control was maintained while transiting between way points in the horizontal plane. The disadvantage associated with this technique is that the actual vehicle path between

two consecutive way points differ significantly from the corresponding straight line segment.

In order to overcome this problem and achieve accurate path control in the presence of obstacles and underwater currents, a cross track error autopilot was developed for the horizontal [3] as well as the combined horizontal and vertical planes [4]. A cross track error autopilot incorporates the deviation of the assumed straight line path into the control law design. This requires the introduction of additional kinematic relations in the control design and, as a result, the controller tends to be more sensitive to actual system / mathematical model mismatch.

The main drawback of a cross track error autopilot is that it represents a combined guidance / control scheme with no clear distinction between these two functions. Thus it is very vehicle specific and offers little flexibility in the design. Path control is limited to cross track error only and analysis of alternate schemes [5] is not possible unless the combined scheme is redesigned. For this reason we decide to separate once more the guidance and autopilot functions of the vehicle. An orientation controller is designed in order to provide accurate vehicle headings in response to guidance commands. The controller is, thus, based on the vehicle dynamical equations and Euler angle rates. A guidance scheme is used to provide appropriate heading commands through the kinematic equations of inertial position rates. A line of sight guidance

command law is employed as in [6] and [7]. We consider a reference point that is moving ahead of the vehicle at a constant distance on the desired straight line path. We refer to this distance as the lookahead distance. The commanded heading is then equal to the line of sight angle between the center of the vehicle and the lookahead point. By suitably selecting the lookahead distance the degree of convergence of the guidance law can be varied from very slow to very rapid onto the straight line path.

Although the above scheme appears to be trouble free on the surface, a significant complication arises in the case of underwater vehicles. Since the actual vehicle response is relatively slow as dominated by the existence of important dynamical lags there is the possibility of instability when the guidance and control functions are combined. High values of the lookahead distance result in very slow vehicle response. The problem is then to evaluate these regions of stable and unstable vehicle response. Chapter II of this thesis summarizes the stability analysis results for the horizontal plane. In Chapter III we proceed with the analysis of motions under the guidance and control scheme for the vertical plane. It is shown that the existence of hydrostatic restoring moments here due to the nonzero (positive) metacentric height brings in an additional form of instability not present in the horizontal plane. Finally in Chapter IV the previous two guidance and control schemes for the horizontal

and vertical planes are combined and with a speed autopilot, accurate path tracking in three dimensional space is achieved. The main conclusion of this work is that guidance and control laws for underwater vehicles must be designed together even if they are kept separated, in order to ensure stable and satisfactory path keeping. All computations in this work are performed for the Swimmer Delivery Vehicle [8] for which a complete set of hydrodynamic coefficients and geometric properties is available.

II. HORIZONTAL PLANE

In this section the vehicle equations of motion for the horizontal plane (x,y), the design of a heading autopilot and simulations and stability results are presented.

A. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

For the horizontal plane the mathematical model consists of the nonlinear sway and yaw differential equations shown below:

$$m(\dot{v}+ur+x_G\dot{r}-y_G\dot{r}^2)=Y \quad (2.1)$$

$$I_z\dot{r}+mx_G(\dot{v}+ur)-my_Gur=N \quad (2.2)$$

Equations (2.1),(2.2) can be easily derived from the general six degrees of freedom equations for a vehicle by assuming all terms off the horizontal plane to be zero. The equations for the sway force Y and yaw moment N are presented below:

$$Y=Y_r\dot{r}+(Y_v\dot{v}+Y_ru)+Y_vuv-\frac{\rho}{2}\int[C_{D_y}h(\xi)\frac{(v+\xi r)^3}{|v+\xi r|}]d\xi+Y_\delta u^2\delta$$

$$N=N_r\dot{r}+(N_v\dot{v}+N_ru)+N_vuv-\frac{\rho}{2}\int[C_{D_y}h(\xi)\frac{(v+\xi r)^3}{|v+\xi r|}\xi]d\xi+N_\delta u^2\delta$$

To complete the model, expressions of the inertial position rates and yaw rate are required. These are the kinematic equations:

$$\dot{\psi} = r \quad (2.3)$$

$$\dot{x} = u \cos \psi - v \sin \psi \quad (2.4)$$

$$\dot{y} = u \sin \psi + v \cos \psi \quad (2.5)$$

B. CONTROL LAW

It is more convenient for the design of a linear state space heading controller to represent the above equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) in the following form (with $y_G = 0$):

$$\dot{\psi} = r \quad (2.6)$$

$$\dot{v} = a_{11}uv + a_{12}ur + b_1u^2\delta + d_v(v, r) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\dot{r} = a_{21}uv + a_{22}ur + b_2u^2\delta + d_r(v, r) \quad (2.8)$$

where:

$$D = (I_z - N_r) (m - Y_v) - (mx_G - Y_r) (mx_G - N_v)$$

$$a_{11} = \frac{1}{D} [(I_z - N_r) Y_v - (mx_G - Y_r) N_v]$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{1}{D} [(I_z - N_r) (m - Y_r) - (mX_G - Y_r) (-mX_G + N_r)]$$

$$a_{21} = \frac{1}{D} [(m - Y_v) N_v - (mX_G - N_v) Y_v]$$

$$a_{22} = \frac{1}{D} [(m - Y_v) (-mX_G + N_r) - (mX_G - N_v) (-m + Y_r)]$$

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{D} [(I_z - N_r) Y_\delta - (mX_G - Y_r) N_\delta]$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{D} [(m - Y_v) Y_\delta - (mX_G - N_v) Y_\delta]$$

$$d_v(v, r) = -\frac{1}{D} \frac{1}{2} \rho C_{D_y} [(I_z - N_r) I_1 + Y_r I_2]$$

$$d_r(v, r) = -\frac{1}{D} \frac{1}{2} \rho C_{D_y} [(m - Y_v) I_1 + N_v I_2]$$

$$I_1 = \int [h(\xi) (v + \xi r) | (v + \xi r) |] d\xi$$

$$I_2 = \int [h(\xi) (v + \xi r) | (v + \xi r) | \xi] d\xi$$

The nonlinear terms $d_v(v, r), d_r(u, r)$ are small and can be neglected for control law design. They are kept, however, in all numerical simulations that follow.

1. ZERO YAW ANGLE

When the commanded yaw angle of the vehicle is zero the control law has the following form:

$$\delta = k_1 \psi + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (2.9)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 are computed so the system will have the desired dynamics. The closed loop characteristic equation has the following form:

$$\lambda^3 + a_1 \lambda^2 + a_2 \lambda + a_3 = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

where:

$$a_1 = a_{11}u + a_{22}u + b_1 u^2 k_2 + b_2 u^2 k_3$$

$$a_2 = (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{11}b_2 u k_3 + b_1 a_{22} u k_2 - a_{12}a_{21} - a_{12}b_2 u k_2 - a_{21}b_1 u k_3 - b_2 u k_1) u^2$$

$$a_3 = (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3 k_1$$

The characteristic equation is specified in the following way. It can be chosen to satisfy the minimum ITAE criterion where it assumes the form:

$$\lambda^3 + \alpha_1 \lambda^2 + \alpha_2 \lambda + \alpha_3 = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

where:

$$\alpha_1 = 1.75 \omega_0$$

$$\alpha_2 = 2.15 \omega_0^2$$

$$\alpha_3 = \omega_0^3$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{10u}{t_H l}$$

and t_H represents the dimensionless settling time for the system. Equating the coefficients of equation (2.10) with the desired equation (2.11) and after some algebra we find:

$$k_1 = \frac{\alpha_3}{(b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3} \quad (2.12)$$

$$k_2 (b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12}) u^3 + k_3 (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3 = \alpha_2 + b_2 u^2 k_1 \quad (2.13)$$

$$k_2 b_1 u^2 + k_3 b_2 u^2 = -\alpha_1 - (a_{11} + a_{22}) u \quad (2.14)$$

Selecting a value for t_H according to the ITAE criterion, dictates complex conjugate dominant poles with oscillatory transient response. It was found that other poles selections (for example real negative) do not change significantly the nature of the results and the stability boundaries that are presented later.

2. NON ZERO YAW ANGLE

If the commanded yaw angle is non zero and equal to ψ_c , then the control law (2.9) is simply modified to:

$$\delta = k_1 (\psi - \psi_c) + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (2.15)$$




Figure 1. Horizontal plane geometry

No feedforward term is necessary in (2.15) since no rudder angle is required to keep the vehicle to a constant non zero heading angle at steady state.

C. GUIDANCE

The heading autopilot that was designed in the previous section is called upon now to provide vehicle path in the sense of passing through a series of way points in the horizontal plane. In order to achieve it without changing the previously designed heading autopilot we have to couple it with a suitable navigation scheme such as line of sight guidance.

The simplest such guidance law is a pure pursuit navigation which is accomplished as follows. The autopilot attempts to point the longitudinal axis of the vehicle towards a point D which is located ahead to the vehicle on the nominal straight line path at a fixed distance x_d as shown in Figure 1. This target distance x_d to as the visibility, lookahead, or preview distance. The line of sight angle σ is defined by:

$$\tan\sigma = -\frac{y}{x_d} \quad (2.16)$$

Pure pursuit navigation then corresponds to taking:

$$\psi_o = \sigma \quad (2.17)$$

as the commanded heading angle in the control law (2.15).

It can be seen now that the commanded vehicle heading angle is not constant but it is function of the vehicle position y . This introduces the lateral deviation equation (2.5) into the problem, and since the control law was based on equations (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) only, stability of the combined autopilot-guidance scheme is no longer guaranteed. Therefore, we need to develop conditions which will guarantee stability and ensure satisfactory path keeping.

D. STABILITY

The complete system is given by the differential equations (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), the control law (2.15), and the guidance equations (2.16), (2.17). The trivial equilibrium state corresponding to a straight line motion is characterized by:

$$\psi = v = r = y = 0$$

Linearization of the state equations gives the following linear system:

$$\dot{X} = AX$$

where the complete state vector is:

$$X = [\psi, v, r, y]$$

Local stability properties are established by the eigenvalues of [A] The characteristic equation is found to be:

$$A\lambda^4+B\lambda^3+C\lambda^2+D\lambda+E=0 \quad (2.18)$$

where:

$$A=1$$

$$B=-B_1-C_1$$

$$C=-D_1+B_1C_2-C_1B_2-A_2$$

$$D=-C_1D_2+D_1C_2-uD_2-A_1B_2+A_2B_1$$

and

$$A_1=b_1u^2k_1$$

$$A_2=b_2u^2k_1$$

$$B_1=a_{11}u+b_1u^2k_2$$

$$B_2=a_{21}u+b_2u^2k_2$$

$$C_1=a_{12}u+b_1u^2k_3$$

$$C_2=a_{22}u+b_2u^2k_3$$

$$D_1=b_1u^2k_1\frac{1}{x_d}$$

$$D_2 = b_2 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{x_d}$$

Loss of stability occurs when:

$$BCD - B^2 E - AD^2 = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

Equation (2.19) is derived from Routh's criterion for (2.18), and it corresponds to a pair of complex conjugate roots crossing the imaginary axis. After some algebra equation (2.19) is simplified to:

$$a_1 x_d^2 + a_2 x_d + a_3 = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

where:

$$a_1 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$$

$$a_2 = \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3)(b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12} - b_2)}{b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}} - \frac{b_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_3}{(b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u} - \alpha_1^2 u$$

$$a_3 = \frac{-(b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12} - b_2)[b_1 \alpha_1 + (b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12} - b_2) u] \alpha_3}{(b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21})^2 u}$$

The positive root of equation (2.20) determines the critical value of x_d for stability. For every $x_d > x_{d \text{ critical}}$ the system is stable which means that the vehicle will follow the path. In the opposite case where $x_d < x_{d \text{ critical}}$ the system

becomes unstable and the motion of the vehicle becomes oscillatory as a result of a complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues with positive real parts.

Results for the dimensionless critical visibility versus settling time t_H are presented in Figure 2. These results are independent of the forward speed since gains k_1, k_2, k_3 are functions of u . It can be seen from Figure 2 that for higher t_H (softer controller) higher lookahead distance x_d is required in order for the system to remain stable. It is obvious that very high values of x_d correspond to a very slow navigator with a loss in speed of response and navigational accuracy. The results of this section establish analytically the minimum required lookahead distance that is required for stability based on linear approximations.

It should be mentioned that all results in this work are presented in dimensionless form unless otherwise mentioned. Nondimensionalizations are performed by using the vehicle length and the vehicle forward speed.




Figure 2. Regions of stability in the horizontal plane

E. SIMULATIONS

Numerical simulations confirm the results of the stability analysis of Figure 2. The simulated lateral distance y (in vehicle lengths) versus time t (in dimensionless seconds) is shown in Figure 3 for two cases. The nominal straight line path is $y=0$. Case 1 is located in Region 1 of Figure 2 and it can be seen that the vehicle response is unstable. Case 2 corresponds to a stable (t_H, x_d) combination and the vehicle converges to the desired path.




Figure 3. Stable and unstable numerical simulations

III. VERTICAL PLANE

In this section the vehicle equations of motion for the vertical plane (x,z), the design of a vertical heading autopilot and simulations and stability results are presented.

A. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Restricting our attention to the vertical plane the mathematical model consists of the nonlinear heave and pitch differential equations shown below:

$$m(\dot{w}-uq-x_G\dot{q}-z_Gq^2)=Z \quad (3.1)$$

$$I_y\dot{q}-mx_G(\dot{w}-uq)+mz_Gwq=M \quad (3.2)$$

where only vertical plane related terms have been kept. The heave force Z and pitch moment M are written as:

$$Z=Z_q\dot{q}+(Z_{\dot{w}}\dot{w}+Z_quq)+Z_wuw-\frac{\rho}{2}\int C_{D_z}b(x)\frac{(w-xq)^3}{|w-xq|}dx+(W-B)\cos\theta+u^2(Z_{\delta_s}\delta_s+Z_{\delta_b}\delta_b)$$

$$M=M_q\dot{q}+(M_{\dot{w}}\dot{w}+M_quq)+M_wuw+\frac{\rho}{2}\int C_{D_z}b(x)\frac{(w-xq)^3}{|w-xq|}xdx-(x_GW-x_BB)\cos\theta-(z_GW-z_BB)\sin\theta+u^2(M_{\delta_s}\delta_s+M_{\delta_b}\delta_b)$$

In the above equations is the vehicle weight, B the buoyancy, (x_G, z_G) the coordinates of the center of gravity, and (x_B, z_B)

the coordinates of the center of buoyancy. Also, provision for two sets of control surfaces (stern and bow planes) is made. The kinematic equations are:

$$\dot{x} = u \cos \theta + w \sin \theta \quad (3.3)$$

$$\dot{z} = -u \sin \theta + w \cos \theta \quad (3.4)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = q \quad (3.5)$$

B. CONTROL LAW

The linearized state space form of equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.5) is used for vertical plane heading control:

$$\dot{w} = a_{11}uw + a_{12}uq + a_{13}\theta + b_{11}u^2\delta_s + b_{12}u^2\delta_b \quad (3.6)$$

$$\dot{q} = a_{21}uw + a_{22}uq + a_{23}\theta + b_{21}u^2\delta_s + b_{22}u^2\delta_b \quad (3.7)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = q$$

where:

$$D_v = (m - Z_{\dot{w}}) (I_y - M_{\dot{q}}) - (m x_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) (m x_G + M_{\dot{w}})$$

$$a_{11} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(I_y - M_{\dot{q}}) Z_{\dot{w}} + (m x_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) M_{\dot{w}}]$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(I_y - M_{\dot{q}}) (m + Z_{\dot{q}}) + (m x_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) (M_{\dot{q}} - m)]$$

$$a_{13} = -\frac{1}{D_v} [(Z_G - Z_B) (mX_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) W]$$

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(I_y - M_{\dot{q}}) Z_{\delta s} + (mX_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) M_{\delta s}]$$

$$b_{12} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(I_y - M_{\dot{q}}) Z_{\delta b} + (mX_G + Z_{\dot{q}}) M_{\delta b}]$$

$$a_{21} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(m - Z_{\dot{w}}) M_w + (mX_G + M_{\dot{w}}) Z_w]$$

$$a_{22} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(m - Z_{\dot{w}}) (M_q - m) + (mX_G + M_{\dot{w}}) (m + Z_q)]$$

$$a_{23} = -\frac{1}{D_v} [(m - Z_{\dot{w}}) (Z_G - Z_B) W]$$

$$b_{21} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(m - Z_{\dot{w}}) M_{\delta s} + (mX_G + M_{\dot{w}}) Z_{\delta s}]$$

$$b_{22} = \frac{1}{D_v} [(m - Z_{\dot{w}}) M_{\delta b} + (mX_G + M_{\dot{w}}) Z_{\delta b}]$$

In these $W=B$ and $x_G=x_B$ have been assumed. Considering that the effect of the bow and the stern planes is the same we have:

$$\delta_s = \delta$$

$$\delta_b = -\delta$$

so

$$b_1 = b_{11} - b_{12}$$

$$b_2 = b_{21} - b_{22}$$

From the above the final form of the equations of motion is:

$$\dot{\theta} = q$$

$$\dot{w} = a_{11}uw + a_{12}uq + a_{13}\theta + b_1u^2\delta \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{q} = a_{21}uw + a_{22}uq + a_{23}\theta + b_2u^2\delta \quad (3.9)$$

1. ZERO PITCH ANGLE

When the commanded direction of the underwater vehicle is horizontal the control law has the following form:

$$\delta = k_1\theta + k_2w + k_3q \quad (3.10)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 are calculated below. From the system of the three differential equations (3.5), (3.8), (3.9) the closed loop characteristic equation has the following form:

$$\lambda^3 + a_1\lambda^2 + a_2\lambda + a_3 = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

where:

$$a_1 = -a_{11}u - b_1u^2k_2 - a_{22}u - b_2u^2k_3$$

$$a_2 = a_{11}a_{22}u^2 + a_{11}b_2u^3k_3 + a_{22}b_1u^2k_2 - a_{12}a_{21}u^2 - b_2a_{12}u^3k_2 - b_1a_{21}u^3k_3 - a_{23} - b_2u$$

$$a_3 = a_{13}a_{21}u - a_{13}b_2u^2k_2 - b_1a_{21}u^3k_1 + a_{11}a_{23}u + a_{11}b_2u^3k_1 + a_{23}b_1u^2k_2$$




Figure 4. Vertical plane geometry: Horizontal commanded path

The desired characteristic polynomial according to the ITAE criterion is:

$$\lambda^3 + \alpha_1 \lambda^2 + \alpha_2 \lambda + \alpha_3 = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

where:

$$\alpha_1 = 1.75 \omega_0$$

$$\alpha_2 = 2.15 \omega_0^2$$

$$\alpha_3 = \omega_0^3$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{10u}{t_v l}$$

and t_v represents the dimensionless settling time for the vertical plane autopilot. Equating the coefficients of equation (3.11) with equation (3.12) we get:

$$b_1 u^2 k_2 + b_2 u^2 k_3 = -\alpha_1 - (a_{11} + a_{22}) u \quad (3.13)$$

$$(b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12}) u^3 k_2 + (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3 k_3 = \alpha_2 + b_2 u^2 k_1 + a_{23} + (a_{12} a_{21} - a_{11} a_{22}) u^2 \quad (3.14)$$

$$(b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3 k_1 + (a_{23} b_1 - a_{13} b_2) u^2 k_2 = \alpha_3 + (a_{13} a_{21} - a_{11} a_{23}) u \quad (3.15)$$

To simplify notations, equations (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) are written as:

$$A_2 k_2 + A_3 k_3 = D_1 \quad (3.16)$$

$$B_1 k_1 + B_2 k_2 + B_3 k_3 = D_2 \quad (3.17)$$

$$C_1 k_1 + C_2 k_2 = D_3 \quad (3.18)$$

where:

$$A_2 = b_1 u^2$$

$$A_3 = b_2 u^2$$

$$B_1 = b_2 u^2$$

$$B_2 = (b_1 a_{22} - b_2 a_{12}) u^3$$

$$B_3 = (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3$$

$$C_1 = (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^3$$

$$C_2 = (a_{23} b_1 - a_{13} b_2) u^2$$

$$D_1 = -\alpha_1 - (-a_{11} + a_{22}) u$$

$$D_2 = \alpha_2 + a_{23} + (a_{12} a_{21} - a_{11} a_{22}) u^2$$

$$D_3 = \alpha_3 + (a_{13} a_{21} - a_{11} a_{23}) u$$

From the above system of equations (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) we can find expressions for the gains k_1, k_2, k_3

$$k_1 = \frac{D_3 - C_2 k_2}{C_1} \quad (3.19)$$

$$k_2 = \frac{A_3 B_1 D_3 + C_1 B_3 D_1 - D_2 C_1 A_3}{A_3 B_1 C_2 + C_1 B_3 A_2 - C_1 A_3 B_2} \quad (3.20)$$

$$k_3 = \frac{D_1 - A_2 k_2}{A_3} \quad (3.21)$$

2. NON ZERO PITCH ANGLE

When the commanded pitch angle of the vehicle is not equal to zero, we have:

$$\theta = a_v + \theta' \quad (3.22)$$

where: a_v is the commanded pitch angle
 θ' is the deviation from the commanded angle

Then

$$\sin \theta = \sin a_v \cos \theta' + \cos a_v \sin \theta' = \sin a_v + \theta' \cos a_v$$

for small deviations θ' . The system of equations of motion (3.5), (3.8), (3.9) takes the form:

$$\theta' = q \quad (3.23)$$

$$\dot{w} = a_{11} u w + a_{12} u q + a_{13} \cos a_v \theta' + b_1 u^2 \delta + a_{13} \sin a_v \quad (3.24)$$




Figure 5. Vertical plane geometry: Inclined commanded path

$$\dot{q} = a_{21}uw + a_{22}uq + a_{23}\cos a_v \theta' + b_2 u^2 \delta + a_{23}\sin a_v \quad (3.25)$$

The control law now takes the form:

$$\delta = k_1 (\theta - a_v) + k_2 w + k_3 q + k_4 \quad (3.26)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 can be calculated with the same procedure as before, and the feedforward gain k_4 is calculated from the desired steady state accuracy. At steady state we have:

$$q = 0$$

$$\theta = a_v$$

$$\theta' = 0$$

so that the system of the equations of motion (3.23), (3.24), (3.25) yields:

$$a_{11}uw + b_1 u^2 \delta + a_{13}\sin a_v = 0 \quad (3.27)$$

$$a_{21}uw + b_2 u^2 \delta + a_{23}\sin a_v = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

Equations (3.27), (3.28) can be solved for the steady state values of δ and w , and by substitution into equation (3.26), after some calculations k_4 is found to be:

$$k_4 = - \frac{a_{13}(a_{21} + b_2 u k_2) - a_{23}(a_{11} + b_1 u k_2)}{(b_1 a_{21} - b_2 a_{11}) u^2} \sin a_v \quad (3.29)$$

Note that if $a_v = 0$ or $z_G = z_B$ then $k_4 = 0$.

C. GUIDANCE LAW

A similar to the horizontal plane case guidance law can be used here to allow path keeping in the vertical plane. To the previous system of differential equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.5) one more equation is added, the kinematic equation (3.4). The new system is now going to be examined for two different cases.

- a) Horizontal path (no change in depth)
- b) Inclined path (change in depth)

1. HORIZONTAL PATH

In this case where the commanded depth remains the same the control law is:

$$\delta = k_1(\theta - \theta_c) + k_2 w + k_3 q \quad (3.30)$$

where θ_c is the commanded line of sight (pitch angle)

$$\theta_c = \tan^{-1} \frac{z}{x_d} \quad (3.31)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 are already known from the previous section, and x_d is the visibility distance similar to the horizontal case, shown in Figure 4.

2. INCLINED PATH

Here the commanded depth changes linearly so that the angle δ is given by:

$$\delta = k_1 (\theta - a_v - \theta'_c) + k_2 w + k_3 q + k_4 \quad (3.32)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are the same as previously determined.

The k_4 term exists here because an angle $\delta \neq 0$ has to remain when the underwater vehicle changes depth to equalize the restoring moment due to the pitch angle. The commanded pitch angle is:

$$\theta'_c = \tan^{-1} \frac{z'}{x_d} \quad (3.33)$$

where z' is the cross track error off the inclined path as shown in Figure 5.

D. STABILITY

The complete system is given by the equations of motion (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), the control law (3.30), and the guidance law (3.31). Horizontal motion at the commanded depth is characterized by:

$$\theta = w = q = z = 0$$

Linearization of the above equations produces the linear system:

$$\dot{X} = AX$$

where:

$$X = [\theta, w, q, z]$$

and

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_{13}z_{GB} + b_1 u^2 K_1 & a_{11}u + b_1 u^2 K_2 & a_{12}u + b_1 u^2 K_3 & -b_1 u^2 \frac{K_1}{x_d} \\ a_{23}z_{GB} + b_2 u^2 K_1 & a_{21}u + b_2 u^2 K_2 & a_{22}u + b_2 u^2 K_3 & -b_2 u^2 \frac{K_1}{x_d} \\ -u & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.34)$$

where:

$$z_{GB} = z_G - z_B \quad (3.35)$$

is the metacentric height. Stability properties of the straight line motion are established by the eigenvalues of matrix [A]. It should be mentioned that from now until the end of this chapter a_{13} , a_{23} have been redefined to show explicitly the metacentric height z_{GB} .

A program is written to compute the eigenvalues of matrix (3.34) over a range of (t_v, x_d) values, and detect whether one

or more eigenvalues become unstable. Typical results are shown in Figure 6 for $u=5$ ft/sec and $z_{GB}=0.1$.

1. REGIONS OF STABILITY

It can be seen that the stability boundary of Figure 6 separates the parameter space (x_d, t_v) into three regions:

1: Unstable region, one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues of $[A]$ has positive real parts.

2: Stable region, all eigenvalues of $[A]$ have negative real parts.

3: Unstable region, one real positive eigenvalue of $[A]$.

Obviously, stable vehicle response is not possible unless the parameters (x_d, t_v) are chosen in region 2.

- 1: One pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues with positive real parts.
- 2: Region of stability.
- 3: One real positive eigenvalue.




Figure 6. Regions of stability for $u=5$ ft/sec and $z_{CB}=0.1$ ft

2. SIMULATIONS

Before proceeding further with the stability analysis, numerical integrations are first performed in order to examine the response of the vehicle in each of the above three regions of stability of Figure 6. The same parameters $u=5$ ft/sec and $z_{GB}=0.1$ ft are used. Simulations for the pitch angle θ and the commanded line of sight angle θ_c for the case $t_v=5$ and $x_d=4$ are shown in Figure 7. This corresponds to region 2 of Figure 6 which is the region of stability. The simulation results show that the actual vehicle pitch angle approaches the commanded angle, after some oscillations, and the depth reaches its commanded value at zero as predicted.

When the visibility distance is $x_d=0.4$ with the same t_v , the vehicle moves into the unstable region 1 of Figure 6. The simulated response is shown in Figure 8 where oscillatory characteristics are exhibited. If we keep the same value for $x_d=4$ and we change the controller time constant $t_v=15$ we enter the unstable region 3 of Figure 6. The simulated vehicle response is shown in Figure 9 where it appears that θ and θ_c diverge and they both reach nonzero steady state values. As a result the vehicle depth is now a linear function of time, without ever stabilizing. These results require a more detailed analysis of the regions of stability of the controller / guidance combination.

$$z_{GB} = 0.1 \text{ (ft)}$$

$$u = 5 \text{ (ft/sec)}$$

$$t_v = 5$$

$$x_d = 4$$




Figure 7. Numerical simulations in region 2




Figure 8. Numerical simulations in region 1

$z_{GB} = 0.1 \text{ (ft)}$

$u = 5 \text{ (ft/sec)}$

$t_v = 15$

$x_d = 4$




Figure 9. Numerical simulation in region 3




Figure 10. Regions of stability for $z_{cs}=0$ and for any speed u

E. ANALYSIS

The stability properties of the system are characterized by the eigenvalues of the linearized matrix [A], given by equation (3.34). The characteristic equation of [A] has the form:

$$A\lambda^4 + B\lambda^3 + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda + E = 0 \quad (3.36)$$

where:

$$A=1$$

$$B=\alpha_1$$

$$C=\alpha_2 + b_1 u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{X_d}$$

$$D=\alpha_3 + (b_2 a_{12} - b_1 a_{22}) u^3 k_1 \frac{1}{X_d} - b_2 u^3 k_1 \frac{1}{X_d}$$

$$E=z_{GB} (b_2 a_{13} - b_1 a_{23}) u^2 k_1 \frac{1}{X_d} + (b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^4 k_1 \frac{1}{X_d}$$

According to Routh's criterion (3.36) has one pair of complex conjugate roots crossing the imaginary axis when:

$$BCD - AD^2 - B^2 E = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

After some algebra , equation (3.37) can be written as :

$$\alpha_3 (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3) x_d^2 + [d_1 (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3) + \alpha_1 c_1 \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 d_1 - \alpha_1^2 e_1] x_d + d_1 (\alpha_1 c_1 - d_1) = 0 \quad (3.38)$$

where:

$$c_1 = A_2 k_1$$

$$d_1 = (-B_2 - A_3 u) k_1$$

$$e_1 = (-C_2 + C_1 u) k_1$$

and A_2, A_3, B_2, C_1, C_2 were defined previously following equations (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18).

The positive root of equation (3.38) provides the critical value of x_d for stability. This produces the curve separating the regions 1 and 2 of Figure 6. As the (x_d, t_v) combinations cross into region 1, the response of the system becomes oscillatory as a result of the pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues with positive real part. This explains the simulations observed in Figures 7 and 8.

A different kind of instability occurs when one real root of (3.36) crosses zero. For this to happen the condition is:

$$E = 0 \quad (3.39)$$

and using the previous definition of E , this happens when

$$k_1=0 \quad (3.40)$$

Equations (3.40) and (3.19) yield

$$k_2 = \frac{D_3}{C_2} \quad (3.41)$$

Equations (3.41), (3.20) define then the critical condition for stability. In our case this can be simplified as follows. The expression for C_2 is reproduced here.

$$C_2 = (a_{23}b_1 - a_{13}b_2) z_{GB} u^2 \quad (3.42)$$

Since we have assumed that bow and stern planes have the same strength

$$Z_{\delta s} = Z_{\delta b} < 0$$

$$M_{\delta s} = -M_{\delta b} < 0$$

and substituting the expressions for a_{23}, b_1, a_{13}, b_2 we can find that

$$C_2 = 0 \quad (3.43)$$

Equations (3.43) and (3.41) then require that

$$D_3=0$$

(3.44)

and using the definition for D_3 we get

$$\alpha_3 + (a_{13}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{23}) z_{GB} u = 0$$

or

$$\left(\frac{10u^3}{t_v l} \right)^3 + (a_{13}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{23}) z_{GB} = 0$$

and we can find the critical value of t_v as

$$t_{v_{critical}} = \frac{10u}{l [(a_{11}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{21}) z_{GB}]^{\frac{1}{3}}} \quad (3.45)$$

Condition (3.45) shows that the critical value of t_v is independent of x_d which is demonstrated in Figure 6 as a straight line parallel to the x_d axis. Furthermore, the other stability curve, equation (3.38), intersects the t_v axis at $x_d=0$ when $k_1=0$ which is the same condition as (3.45). This means that the stability conditions (3.38) and (3.45) separate the (x_d, t_v) parameter space into three regions of stability, as shown in Figure 6.

Results of the stability regions for $z_{GB}=0$ are shown in Figure 10. These are independent of the forward speed u just as in the horizontal plane case. It should be mentioned that

for $z_{GB}=0$, $t_{v\text{critical}} \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore ,region 3 of figure 6 never appears.

For $z_{GB} > 0$, the stability regions depend heavily on the forward speed u . This is demonstrated in Figure 11 for $z_{GB}=0.1$ (ft) and various values of u in (ft/sec). As the speed is decreased the critical value of t_v from (3.45) also decreases with the effect of reducing region 1 and enlarging region 2 and 3.

The effect of varying the metacentric height z_{GB} while keeping u constant is evaluated in Figure 12 for $u=2$. Similar conclusions can be drawn for this case as previously.

The critical value of t_v as given by (3.45) is shown in Figure 13 for different values of the forward speed u and the metacentric height z_{GB} . The surface shown in the figure separates the stability regions 2 and 3.

The final task of this section is to explain the simulations observed in Figure 9 when the vehicle operates in region 3.




Figure 11. Regions of stability for $z_{68}=0.1 \text{ ft}$




Figure 12. Regions of stability for $u = 2$ ft/sec




Figure 13. Critical value of t_v versus u and z_{GB}

F. STEADY STATE SOLUTIONS

It was shown in the previous section that transition between Regions 2 and 3 is associated with a real eigenvalue crossing zero. Usually when such a loss of stability occurs and the primary equilibrium solution becomes unstable, additional stable equilibrium solutions appear. To evaluate these new steady state solutions we consider the complete system given by equations (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.30), and (3.31). At steady state the time derivatives vanish and we get

$$q=0 \quad (3.46)$$

$$w=\frac{C_2}{C_1}\sin\theta \quad (3.47)$$

$$\delta=\frac{D_3-\alpha_3}{C_1}\sin\theta \quad (3.48)$$

Substituting equations (3.46), (3.47), and (3.48) into equation (3.4) we get:

$$\left(-u+\frac{C_2}{C_1}\cos\theta\right)\sin\theta=0 \quad (3.49)$$

Equation (3.49) may accept besides the normal solution $\theta=0$, another solution given by:

$$\cos\theta = \frac{C_1}{C_2} u = \frac{(b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}) u^2}{(b_1 a_{23} - b_2 a_{13}) z_{GB}} \quad (3.50)$$

Equation (3.50) is valid provided $\cos\theta \leq 1$ which means:

$$u^2 \leq \frac{(b_1 a_{23} - b_2 a_{13}) z_{GB}}{b_2 a_{11} - b_1 a_{21}} \quad (3.51)$$

If (3.51) is satisfied the equilibrium angle θ can be determined from (3.50) provided:

$$\frac{D_3 - \alpha_3}{C_1} \sin\theta \leq \delta_{sat} \quad (3.52)$$

where δ_{sat} is the maximum dive plane angle typically set at 0.4 radians.

In our case conditions (3.51) and (3.52) are not satisfied, which means that the non zero equilibrium pitch angle cannot be computed from (3.50). Furthermore $z \neq 0$ at steady state, which means that $\dot{z} = \text{constant}$. Therefore, z is linearly increasing with time, and

$$\tan^{-1} \frac{z}{x_d} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ as } \dots t \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.53)$$

Substituting equations (3.46), (3.47), (3.48), and (3.53) into the control law (3.30) and (3.31) we can find the equation for the unknown steady state pitch angle.

$$(D_3 - \alpha_3) \sin \theta = k_1 C_1 \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + k_2 C_2 \sin \theta \quad (3.54)$$

If we call $\theta - \pi/2 = \theta'$, equation (3.54) reduces to:

$$k_1 C_1 \theta' + (\alpha_3 - k_1 C_1) \cos \theta' = 0 \quad (3.55)$$

It can now be seen that equation (3.55) has a solution when k_1 crosses zero which is the same condition for transition between regions (2) and (3) found in the previous section. The steady state solution is then computed from (3.55) if:

$$\frac{D_3 - \alpha_3}{C_1} \sin \theta \leq \delta_{sat} \quad (3.56)$$

or from:

$$\sin \theta = \frac{C_1}{D_3 - \alpha_3} \delta_{sat} \quad (3.57)$$

otherwise.

Results for the steady values of θ and δ are presented in Figures 14 and 15 versus z_{GB} for $u=5$ and $t_v=15$.

Solid lines correspond to stable and dashed lines to unstable equilibrium positions. It can be seen that the simulation results for $Z_{GB} = 0.1$ of Figure 9 are verified.

The steady state pitch angle $\theta=0$ loses its stability at $Z_{GB}=0.07$ and begins to increase together with the dive plane angle δ . This is up to $Z_{GB}=0.12$ where δ reaches its maximum value. For increasing Z_{GB} beyond this point, the pitch angle θ begins to decrease since δ remains constant. These results are for fixed t_v and u . Results for different values of the controller settling time t_v and vehicle speed u are shown in Figures 16 and 17 respectively.

$u = 5$ (ft/sec)

$t_v = 15$




Figure 14. Steady state pitch angle θ versus z_{GB}

$u = 5 \text{ (ft/sec)}$

$t_v = 15$




Figure 15. Steady state dive plane angle δ versus z_{GB}




Figure 16. Steady state θ versus z_{CB} for several values of t_v

$$t_v = 15$$




Figure 17. Steady state θ versus z_{GB} for several values of u

IV THREE DIMENSIONAL GUIDANCE CONTROL

The horizontal and vertical plane guidance and control laws that were developed in the previous two chapters are combined here to provide accurate path keeping in three dimensional space. The other requirement is that the forward speed along the path should be constant and equal to a commanded value. This will enable path tracking instead of simply path keeping.

A. PROPULSION CONTROL

Just as the horizontal (vertical) plane path control design was based on the linearized lateral (vertical) equations of motion, the propulsion control law will be based on the linearized form of the surge equation only. The surge equation is:

$$m\dot{u} = X_u \dot{u} + X_{ww} w^2 + uw(X_{w\delta_s} - X_{w\delta_b}) \delta + u^2(X_{\delta_s\delta_b}) \delta^2 + C_{Do}(\alpha^2 n^2 - u^2) \quad (4.1)$$

where:

$$\alpha = \frac{u_{\max}}{n_{\max}} \quad (4.2)$$

n is the propeller revolutions, and δ the dive plane angle. Only w and δ terms remain in equation (4.1) because only these

terms are nonzero at steady state for a constant commanded dive or rise angle. A propulsion control law is introduced of the form:

$$n=n_0+k_n(u-u_c) \quad (4.3)$$

The feedback gain k_n is computed from stability requirements whereas the feedforward term n_0 is computed from steady state accuracy. When $n=n_0$ the forward speed u must equal the commanded speed u_c . Therefore, (4.1) becomes:

$$f(u_c) + C_{D_0} \alpha^2 n_0^2 = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

where we defined

$$f(u) = X_{ww} w^2 + uw(X_{w\delta_s} - X_{w\delta_b}) \delta + u^2 (X_{\delta_s \delta_s} + X_{\delta_t \delta_b}) \delta^2 - C_{D_0} u^2 \quad (4.5)$$

The terms w and δ are given as functions of u_c and the commanded pitch angle a_v by

$$w = \frac{(b_1 a_{23} - b_2 a_{13}) Z_{GB} \sin a_v}{(a_{11} b_2 - a_{21} b_1) u_c} \quad (4.6)$$

$$(4.7)$$

Solving (4.4) for n_0 we get

$$n_0^2 = - \frac{f(u_c)}{C_{D_0} \alpha^2} \quad (4.8)$$

This term n_0 guarantees the required steady state accuracy. To evaluate k_n we substitute (4.3) and (4.8) into (4.1) and we get:

$$(m - X_u) \dot{u} - 2C_{D_0} \alpha^2 n_0 k_n (u - u_c) = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

The characteristic equation of (4.9) is

$$s - \frac{2C_{D_0} \alpha^2 n_0 k_n}{m - X_u} = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

The desired characteristic equation is

$$s + \omega_0 = 0, \dots \omega_0 = \frac{10u_c}{t_n l} \quad (4.11)$$

where t_n is the desired dimensionless settling time for the speed control. Comparing (4.10) with (4.11) we can solve for the control gain.

$$k_n = - \frac{5u_c (m - X_u)}{C_{D_0} \alpha^2 n_0 t_n l} \quad (4.12)$$

With the choice of gains (4.12) and (4.8), the propulsion control law (4.3) is complete.

B. THREE DIMENSIONAL PATH KEEPING

Suppose the commanded path is a general straight line in three dimensions, from point O to point F as shown in Figure 18. The vehicle position is at point A. With respect to the inertial coordinate frame (x,y,z) the commanded path is characterized with the two angles α_H and α_V as shown in the Figure. In order to achieve the commanded path, a coordinate frame rotation by an angle α_H is performed first as shown in Figure 19. The necessary geometric relations are:

$$\alpha_H = \tan^{-1} \frac{Y_F - Y_O}{X_F - X_O} \quad (4.13)$$

$$x' = (y - y_O) \sin \alpha_H + (x - x_O) \cos \alpha_H \quad (4.14)$$

$$y' = (y - y_O) \cos \alpha_H - (x - x_O) \sin \alpha_H \quad (4.15)$$

The rudder control law is then of the form:

$$\delta = k_1 (\psi - \alpha_H - \sigma_H) + k_2 v + k_3 r \quad (4.16)$$

where the line of sight angle for horizontal plane control σ_H is defined by:

$$\tan \sigma_H = -\frac{y'}{x_{d_H}} \quad (4.17)$$

x_{dH} is the lookahead distance determined according to the stability analysis of Chapter II, and k_1, k_2, k_3 are the horizontal plane control gains from Chapter II.

Another rotation by an angle α_v is conducted next as shown in Figure 20. The geometric relations here are:

$$\alpha_v = \tan^{-1} \frac{z_F - z_o}{x'_F} \quad (4.18)$$

$$x'_F = (y_F - y_o) \sin \alpha_H + (x_F - x_o) \cos \alpha_H \quad (4.19)$$

$$x'' = -(z - z_o) \sin \alpha_v + x' \cos \alpha_v \quad (4.20)$$

$$z' = (z - z_o) \cos \alpha_v + x' \sin \alpha_v \quad (4.21)$$

The dive plane control law is:

$$\delta = k_1 (\theta - \alpha_v - \sigma_v) + k_2 w + k_3 q + k_4 \quad (4.22)$$

where the line of sight angle for vertical plane control σ_v is defined by:

$$\tan \sigma_v = \frac{z'}{x_{d_v}}$$

x_{dv} is the lookahead distance determined according to the stability analysis of Chapter III, and k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are the vertical plane control gains as computed in Chapter III. The existence of two distinct distances x_{dh}, x_{dv} is for maximum flexibility in the design and to allow for the possibly different stability conditions for horizontal and vertical plane, as analyzed in the previous two chapters.

Results are presented for a typical three dimensional commanded route that consists of the following way points $(x, y, z) = (20, 0, 5), (40, 5, 5), (60, -5, -3), (100, 0, -5)$ vehicle lengths with individual straight line paths connecting them. Switch from one to the next straight line path was initiated when the vehicle position, measured along the current commanded path, was within a specified target distance (TD) from the way point. Parameters used for the simulation were the following: $t_h=7, t_v=5, z_g=0.1, t_N=0.2, x_{dh}=3, x_{dv}=2.5$ commanded speeds $u=(4, 4, 5, 5)$ for the four straight line segments respectively, and $TD=1$. Simulation results are presented in Figure 21 through 25. It can be seen from Figures 21 that accurate path control is maintained in both the horizontal and vertical planes. Speed control is also very accurate, see Figure 22, despite the course changes and nonzero dive and rise angles. The speed controller revolutions per minute are shown in Figure 23, where the maximum saturation limit is set 500 rpm. Rudder response is shown in Figure 25 where the steady state nonzero values occur during

a nonzero commanded pitch angle. Comparing Figures 24 and 25 with 22, it can be observed that the vehicle slows down momentarily when the control surfaces become active, a situation which is quickly corrected by the speed controller.




Figure 19. Horizontal plane rotation




Figure 20. Vertical plane rotation




Figure 21. Numerical simulation for 3-D path keeping



Figure 22. Time history of vehicle speed u




Figure 23. Time history of propeller revolutions per minute




Figure 24. Time history of rudder angle




Figure 25. Time history of dive plane angle

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The main conclusions and contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

1. Pursuit guidance law and decoupled horizontal and vertical plane orientation controllers were shown to provide accurate vehicle path keeping in three dimensions.

2. The scheme proved to be robust enough so that it could handle the nonlinear coupling between speed response, and horizontal and vertical plane motions without performance degradation.

3. It was shown that the guidance and control schemes must be designed together in order to avoid loss of stability or excessive oscillatory response.

4. Analytic conditions for stability were derived. The conditions were expressed explicitly in terms of the vehicle hydrodynamic characteristics and the guidance and control law design specifications.

5. An extensive study of the mechanism of loss of stability was undertaken for the vertical plane motions. Two distinct possibilities were discovered and analyzed. In the first one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues crosses the imaginary axis and results in an oscillatory vehicle behavior around the commanded path. In the second, one real eigenvalue crosses zero and the vehicle drifts off to a steady state path

with its deviation from the commanded path linearly increasing with time. This new path was computed and explicit conditions to avoid such a undesirable situation were given.

Some recommendations for further research include the following:

1. Comparisons from the point of view of path keeping response under physical system / mathematical model mismatch.
2. State estimators must be included in the analysis to evaluate performance under partial state knowledge and sensor noise.

APPENDIX A

PROGRAM SIM_3D.FOR

FOTIS A. PAPOULIAS/ANGELLOS G. PAPASOTIRIOU
 NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
 AUGUST 1991

VEHICLE THREE DIMENSIONAL PATH KEEPING
 HEADING AUTOPILOTS
 PURE PURSUIT NAVIGATION
 SIMULTANEOUS RUDDER/DIVE PLANE SWITCHINGS

DECLARATIONS

```

REAL L, MASS, IX, IY, IZ, IXZ, IYZ, IXY
REAL K1H, K2H, K3H, K1V, K2V, K3V, K4V, KN
REAL KPDOT, KRDOT, KPQ, KQR, KVDOT, KP, KR, KVQ, KWP, KWR, KV, KVW,
&    KPN, KDB
REAL MQDOT, MPP, MPR, MRR, MWDOT, MQ, MVP, MVR, MW, MVV,
&    MDS, MDB, NDRB
REAL NPDOT, NRDOT, NPQ, NQR, NVDOT, NP, NR, NVQ, NWP, NWR,
&    NV, NVW, NDRS
REAL MM(6,6), INDX(100)
DIMENSION X(9), BR(9), HH(9), VECH1(9), VECH2(9), XMMINV(6,6)
DIMENSION VECV1(9), VECV2(9), F(12), FP(6), DISV(100)
DIMENSION XDES(100), YDES(100), ZDES(100), UDES(100),
&    DISH(100)
  
```

GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES

```

WEIGHT=12000.0
IX    = 1760.0
IY    = 9450.0
IZ    =10700.0
IXY   =    0.0
IYZ   =    0.0
IXZ   =    0.0
L     = 17.425
RHO   = 1.94
G     = 32.2
XG    =    0.0
YG    =    0.0
XB    =    0.0
YB    =    0.0
ZB    =    0.0
  
```

```

A0      =      2.0
CD0     =      0.0057
MASS    =WEIGHT/G
BOY     =WEIGHT
RPMMAX  =  500.0
RPMMIN  = -500.0
UMAX    =      6.0
UMIN    =      0.1
ALPHA   =UMAX/RPMMAX

```

C
C
C

SURGE HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

```

XPP      = 7.030E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
XQQ      =-1.470E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
XRR      = 4.010E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
XPR      = 7.640E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
XUDOT    =-7.580E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
XWQ      =-1.920E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
XVP      =-3.240E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
XVR      = 1.890E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
XQDS     = 2.610E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
XQDB     =-2.600E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
XRDR     =-8.180E-04*0.5*RHO*L**3
XVV      = 5.290E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
XWW      = 1.710E-01*0.5*RHO*L**2
XVDR     = 1.730E-03*0.5*RHO*L**2
XWDS     = 4.600E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
XWDB     = 9.660E-03*0.5*RHO*L**2
XDSDS    =-1.160E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
XDBDB    =-8.070E-03*0.5*RHO*L**2
XDRDR    =-1.010E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
XRES     =      CD0*0.5*RHO*L**2
XPROP    = XRES*ALPHA**2

```

C
C
C

SWAY HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

```

YPDOT    = 1.270E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
YRDOT    = 1.240E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
YPQ      = 4.125E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
YQR      =-6.510E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
YVDOT    =-5.550E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
YP       = 3.055E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
YR       = 2.970E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
YVQ      = 2.360E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
YWP      = 2.350E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
YWR      =-1.880E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
YV       =-9.310E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
YVW      = 6.840E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
YDRS     =+2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
YDRB     =+2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2

```

C

C HEAVE HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

C

ZQDOT = -6.810E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
 ZPP = 1.270E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
 ZPR = 6.670E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
 ZRR = -7.350E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
 ZWDOT = -2.430E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
 ZQ = -1.350E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
 ZVP = -4.810E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
 ZVR = 4.550E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
 ZW = -3.020E-01*0.5*RHO*L**2
 ZVV = -6.840E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
 ZDS = -2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
 ZDB = -2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2

C

C

C

ROLL HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

KPDOT = -1.010E-03*0.5*RHO*L**5
 KRDOT = -3.370E-05*0.5*RHO*L**5
 KPQ = -6.930E-05*0.5*RHO*L**5
 KQR = 1.680E-02*0.5*RHO*L**5
 KVDOT = 1.270E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KP = -1.100E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KR = -8.410E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KVQ = -5.115E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KWP = -1.270E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KWR = 1.390E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
 KV = 3.055E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
 KVV = -1.870E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3

C

C

C

PITCH HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

MQDOT = -1.680E-02*0.5*RHO*L**5
 MPP = 5.260E-05*0.5*RHO*L**5
 MPR = 5.040E-03*0.5*RHO*L**5
 MRR = -2.860E-03*0.5*RHO*L**5
 MWDOT = -6.810E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
 MQ = -6.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
 MVP = 1.180E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
 MVR = 1.730E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
 MW = 9.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
 MVV = -2.510E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
 MDS = -1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
 MDB = 1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3

C

C

C

YAW HYDRODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

NPDOT = -3.370E-05*0.5*RHO*L**5
 NRDOT = -3.400E-03*0.5*RHO*L**5
 NPQ = -2.110E-02*0.5*RHO*L**5
 NQR = 2.750E-03*0.5*RHO*L**5

```

NVDOT = 1.240E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
NP     =-8.405E-04*0.5*RHO*L**4
NR     =-1.640E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
NVQ    =-9.990E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
NWP    =-1.750E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
NWR    = 7.350E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
NV     =-7.420E-03*0.5*RHO*L**3
NVW    =-2.670E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
NDRS   =-1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
NDRB   =+1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3

```

C
C
C

OPEN DATA AND RESULTS FILES

```

OPEN (10,FILE='PATH_3D.DAT',STATUS='OLD')
OPEN (11,FILE='XY.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (12,FILE='XZ.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (13,FILE='DRS.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (14,FILE='DS.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (15,FILE='YCTE.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (16,FILE='ZCTE.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (17,FILE='XYZ.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (18,FILE='U.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (19,FILE='RPM.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (20,FILE='PHI.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (21,FILE='THETA.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (22,FILE='PSI.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (23,FILE='V.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (24,FILE='R.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (25,FILE='W.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (26,FILE='Q.RES',STATUS='NEW')
OPEN (27,FILE='YZ.RES',STATUS='NEW')

```

C
C
C

READ DATA FILE

```

READ (10,*) TSIM,DELTA,IPRNT
READ (10,*) IPTS,TARGET
READ (10,*) TN,TH,TV,ZG
IF (IPTS.GT.100) IPTS=100
DO 1 I=1,IPTS
  READ (10,*) XD,YD,ZD,XDH,XDV,UO
  XDES(I)=XD*L
  YDES(I)=YD*L
  ZDES(I)=ZD*L
  UDES(I)=UO
  DISH(I)=XDH*L
  DISV(I)=XDV*L

```

1 CONTINUE

C
C
C

MASS MATRIX INITIALIZATION AND DEFINITION

```

DO 15 J=1,6

```

```

        DO 10 K=1,6
            XMMINV(J,K)=0.0
            MM(J,K)=0.0
10      CONTINUE
15      CONTINUE
C
        MM(1,1)= MASS-XUDOT
        MM(1,5)= MASS*ZG
        MM(1,6)=-MASS*YG
C
        MM(2,2)= MASS-YVDOT
        MM(2,4)=-MASS*ZG-YPDOT
        MM(2,6)= MASS*XG-YRDOT
C
        MM(3,3)= MASS-ZWDOT
        MM(3,4)= MASS*YG
        MM(3,5)=-MASS*XG-ZQDOT
C
        MM(4,2)=-MASS*ZG-KVDOT
        MM(4,3)= MASS*YG
        MM(4,4)= IX-KPDOT
        MM(4,5)=-IXY
        MM(4,6)=-IXZ-KRDOT
C
        MM(5,1)= MASS*ZG
        MM(5,3)=-MASS*XG-MWDOT
        MM(5,4)=-IXY
        MM(5,5)= IY-MQDOT
        MM(5,6)=-IYZ
C
        MM(6,1)=-MASS*YG
        MM(6,2)= MASS*XG-NVDOT
        MM(6,4)=-IXZ-NPDOT
        MM(6,5)=-IYZ
        MM(6,6)= IZ-NRDOT
C
C      MASS MATRIX INVERSION
C
        DO 12 I=1,6
            DO 11 J=1,6
                XMMINV(I,J)=0.0
11          CONTINUE
                XMMINV(I,I)=1.0
12          CONTINUE
            CALL INVTA(MM,6,INDX,D)
            DO 13 J=1,6
                CALL INVTB(MM,6,INDX,XMMINV(1,J))
13          CONTINUE
C
C      VARIABLES INITIALIZATION
C

```

```

PISIM =TSIM/DELTA
ISIM  =PISIM
ECHO  =1.0/DELTA
IECHO =ECHO
YAW   =0.0
SWAY  =0.0
PITCH =0.0
HEAVE =0.0
U      =UDES(1)
RPM    =UDES(1)/ALPHA
V      =0.0
W      =0.0
P      =0.0
Q      =0.0
R      =0.0
DS     =0.0
DB     =0.0
DR     =0.0
TWOPI =8.0*ATAN(1.0)
PI     =0.5*TWOPI
PHI    =0.0
ISTART=1
TARGET=TARGET*L
XPOS   =0.0
YPOS   =0.0
ZPOS   =0.0
CDY    =0.5
CDZ    =0.5
JPRNT  =0
IJK    =0
JE     =0
DRS    =0.0
DRB    =0.0
DS     =0.0
DB     =0.0

```

C
C
C

DEFINE THE LENGTH X, BREADTH BR, AND HEIGHT HH TERMS

```

X(1)  = -105.9/12.0
X(2)  = -99.3/12.0
X(3)  = -87.3/12.0
X(4)  = -66.3/12.0
X(5)  = 72.7/12.0
X(6)  = 83.2/12.0
X(7)  = 91.2/12.0
X(8)  = 99.2/12.0
X(9)  = 103.2/12.0

```

C

```

HH(1) = 0.00/12.0
HH(2) = 8.24/12.0
HH(3) = 19.76/12.0

```


HH(4) = 29.36/12.0
 HH(5) = 31.85/12.0
 HH(6) = 27.84/12.0
 HH(7) = 21.44/12.0
 HH(8) = 12.00/12.0
 HH(9) = 0.00/12.0

C

BR(1) = 0.00/12.0
 BR(2) = 8.24/12.0
 BR(3) = 19.76/12.0
 BR(4) = 29.36/12.0
 BR(5) = 31.85/12.0
 BR(6) = 27.84/12.0
 BR(7) = 21.44/12.0
 BR(8) = 12.00/12.0
 BR(9) = 0.00/12.0

C
 C
 C

AUXILLIARY VARIABLES FOR HORIZONTAL PLANE CONTROL

DH = (IZ-NRDOT)*(MASS-YVDOT) -
 & (MASS*XG-YRDOT)*(MASS*XG-NVDOT)
 A11H = ((IZ-NRDOT)*YV - (MASS*XG-YRDOT)*NV)/DH
 A12H = ((IZ-NRDOT)*(-MASS+YR) -
 & (MASS*XG-YRDOT)*(-MASS*XG+NR))/DH
 A21H = ((MASS-YVDOT)*NV - (MASS*XG-NVDOT)*YV)/DH
 A22H = ((MASS-YVDOT)*(-MASS*XG+NR) -
 & (MASS*XG-NVDOT)*(-MASS+YR))/DH
 B11H = ((IZ-NRDOT)*YDRS - (MASS*XG-YRDOT)*NDRS)/DH
 B12H = ((IZ-NRDOT)*YDRB - (MASS*XG-YRDOT)*NDRB)/DH
 B21H = ((MASS-YVDOT)*NDRS - (MASS*XG-NVDOT)*YDRS)/DH
 B22H = ((MASS-YVDOT)*NDRB - (MASS*XG-NVDOT)*YDRB)/DH
 B1H = B11H - B12H
 B2H = B21H - B22H

C
 C
 C

AUXILLIARY VARIABLES FOR VERTICAL PLANE CONTROL

DV = (MASS-ZWDOT)*(IY-MQDOT) - ZQDOT*MWDOT
 A11V = ((IY-MQDOT)*ZW + ZQDOT*MW)/DV
 A12V = ((IY-MQDOT)*(ZQ+MASS) + ZQDOT*MQ)/DV
 A13V = -(ZG-ZB)*(MASS*XG+ZQDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
 A21V = (MWDOT*ZW + (MASS-ZWDOT)*MW)/DV
 A22V = (MWDOT*(ZQ+MASS) + (MASS-ZWDOT)*MQ)/DV
 A23V = -(ZG-ZB)*(MASS-ZWDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
 B11V = ((IY-MQDOT)*ZDS + ZQDOT*MDS)/DV
 B12V = ((IY-MQDOT)*ZDB + ZQDOT*MDB)/DV
 B21V = (MWDOT*ZDS + (MASS-ZWDOT)*MDS)/DV
 B22V = (MWDOT*ZDB + (MASS-ZWDOT)*MDB)/DV
 B1V = B11V - B12V
 B2V = B21V - B22V

C
 C


```

C      SIMULATION BEGINS
C
C      LOOP OVER WAY POINTS
C
      DO 200 IP=1,IPTS
        IF (IP.GE.2) GO TO 210
        XDH=DISH(1)
        XDV=DISV(1)
        U0 =UDES(1)
        XD =XDES(1)
        YD =YDES(1)
        ZD =ZDES(1)
        XD1=0.0
        YD1=0.0
        ZD1=0.0
        XD2=XD
        YD2=YD
        ZD2=ZD
        GO TO 211
210    XDH=DISH(IP)
        XDV=DISV(IP)
        U0 =UDES(IP)
        XD =XDES(IP)
        YD =YDES(IP)
        ZD =ZDES(IP)
        XD1=XD2
        YD1=YD2
        ZD1=ZD2
        XD2=XD
        YD2=YD
        ZD2=ZD
211    ZD12=ZD2-ZD1
        XD12=XD2-XD1
        YD12=YD2-YD1
C
C      HORIZONTAL HEADING CONTROL GAINS
C
        OMEGAH=(10.0*U0)/(TH*L)
        AD1H=1.75*OMEGA H
        AD2H=2.15*OMEGA H**2
        AD3H=OMEGA H**3
        A1=B1H*U0*U0
        B1=B2H*U0*U0
        C1=-AD1H-(A11H+A22H)*U0
        A2=(B1H*A22H-B2H*A12H)*U0**3
        B2=(B2H*A11H-B1H*A21H)*U0**3
        K1H=AD3H/((B2H*A11H-B1H*A21H)*U0**3)
        C2=AD2H-(A11H*A22H-A12H*A21H)*U0**2+B2H*U0*U0*K1H
        K2H=(C1*B2-C2*B1)/(A1*B2-A2*B1)
        K3H=(C2*A1-C1*A2)/(A1*B2-A2*B1)
C

```

```

C      VERTICAL HEADING CONTROL GAINS
C
OMEGAV=(10.0*U0)/(TV*L)
AD1V=1.75*OMEGAV
AD2V=2.15*OMEGAV**2
AD3V=OMEGAV**3
A2=B1V*U0*U0
A3=B2V*U0*U0
D1=-AD1V-(A11V+A22V)*U0
B1=-B2V*U0*U0
B2=(B1V*A22V-B2V*A12V)*U0**3
B3=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U0**3
D2=AD2V+A23V+(A12V*A21V-A11V*A22V)*U0**2
C1=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U0**3
C2=(A23V*B1V-A13V*B2V)*U0**2
D3=AD3V+(A13V*A21V-A11V*A23V)*U0
K2V=(A3*B1*D3+C1*B3*D1-D2*C1*A3)
K2V=K2V/(A3*B1*C2+C1*B3*A2-C1*A3*B2)
K1V=(D3-C2*K2V)/C1
K3V=(D1-A2*K2V)/A3
C
ALPHAH=ATAN(YD12/XD12)
ALPHAH=ABS(ALPHAH)
IF ((XD12.GE.0.0).AND.(YD12.GE.0.0)) ALPHAH= ALPHAH
IF ((XD12.GE.0.0).AND.(YD12.LT.0.0)) ALPHAH= -ALPHAH
IF ((XD12.LT.0.0).AND.(YD12.GE.0.0)) ALPHAH=PI-ALPHAH
IF ((XD12.LT.0.0).AND.(YD12.LT.0.0)) ALPHAH=PI+ALPHAH
XCTEH=(YPOS-YD1)*SIN(ALPHAH)+(XPOS-XD1)*COS(ALPHAH)
YCTE =(YPOS-YD1)*COS(ALPHAH)-(XPOS-XD1)*SIN(ALPHAH)
X1P  =YD12*SIN(ALPHAH)+XD12*COS(ALPHAH)
ALPHAV=ATAN(ZD12/X1P)
ALPHAV=ABS(ALPHAV)
IF (ZD12.GE.0.0) ALPHAV=-ALPHAV
K4V=- (A13V*(A21V+B2V*U0*K2V)-A23V*(A11V+B1V*U0*K2V))
K4V=K4V*SIN(ALPHAV)/((B1V*A21V-B2V*A11V)*U0*U0)
ZCTE = (ZPOS-ZD1)*COS(ALPHAV)+XCTEH*SIN(ALPHAV)
XCTEV=- (ZPOS-ZD1)*SIN(ALPHAV)+XCTEH*COS(ALPHAV)
C
C      PROPULSION CONTROL GAIN
C
WSS=(B1V*A23V-B2V*A13V)*SIN(ALPHAV)
WSS=WSS/((A11V*B2V-A21V*B1V)*U0)
DSS=(A21V*A13V-A11V*A23V)*SIN(ALPHAV)
DSS=DSS/((A11V*B2V-A21V*B1V)*U0*U0)
FUC=XWW*WSS**2+U0*WSS*(XWDS-XWDB)*DSS
&      +U0*U0*(XSDS+XDBDB)*DSS**2-XRES*U0**2
RPM0=-FUC/(XRES*ALPHA**2)
RPM0=SQRT(RPM0)
WRITE (*,*) RPM0,U0/ALPHA
KN=-5.0*U0*(MASS-XUDOT)/(XRES*ALPHA*ALPHA*RPM0*TN*L)
C

```

```

WRITE (*,201) XD/L,YD/L,ZD/L
C
C
C
SIMULATION FOR EACH WAY POINT
C
DO 100 I=ISTART,ISIM
    ICOUNT=I
C
    IF (U.LT.UMIN) U=UMIN
C
C
C
    CALCULATE THE DRAG FORCE, INTEGRATE THE DRAG OVER
    THE VEHICLE
C
    DO 600 K=1,9
        UCF=(V+X(K)*R)**2+(W-X(K)*Q)**2
        UCF=SQRT(UCF)
        IF (UCF.LT.1.E-6) GO TO 601
        CFLOW=CDY*HH(K)*(V+X(K)*R)**2+CDZ*BR(K)*
&          (W-X(K)*Q)**2
        VECH1(K)=CFLOW*(V+X(K)*R)/UCF
        VECH2(K)=CFLOW*(V+X(K)*R)*X(K)/UCF
        VECV1(K)=CFLOW*(W-X(K)*Q)/UCF
        VECV2(K)=CFLOW*(W-X(K)*Q)*X(K)/UCF
600    CONTINUE
        CALL TRAP(9,VECV1,X,HEAVE)
        CALL TRAP(9,VECV2,X,PITCH)
        CALL TRAP(9,VECH1,X,SWAY)
        CALL TRAP(9,VECH2,X,YAW)
        HEAVE=-0.5*RHO*HEAVE
        PITCH=+0.5*RHO*PITCH
        SWAY =-0.5*RHO*SWAY
        YAW  =-0.5*RHO*YAW
        GO TO 602
601    HEAVE=0.0
        PITCH=0.0
        SWAY =0.0
        YAW  =0.0
602    CONTINUE
C
C
C
    FORCE EQUATIONS
C
C
C
    SURGE FORCE
C
    FP(1) = MASS*V*R-MASS*W*Q+MASS*XG*Q**2+MASS*XG*R**2-
&          MASS*YG*P*Q-MASS*ZG*P*R+XPP*P**2+XQQ*
&          Q**2+XRR*R**2+XPR*P*R+XWQ*W*Q+XVP*V*P+
&          XVR*V*R+U*Q*(XQDS*DS+XQDB*DB)+
&          U*R*(XRDRS*DRS+XRDRB*DRB)+XVV*V**2+XWW*
&          W**2+U*V*(XVDRS*DRS+XDRB*DRB)+U*W*
&          (XWDS*DS+XWDB*DB)+(XSDS*DS**2+XDBDB*DB**2+
&          XDRDR*(DRS**2+DRB**2))*U**2-(WEIGHT-BOY)*

```

```

&          SIN(THETA)+XPROP*RPM*RPM-XRES*U*U
C
C      SWAY FORCE
C
      FP(2) =-MASS*U*R-MASS*XG*P*Q+MASS*YG*R**2-MASS*ZG*Q*R+
&          YPQ*P*Q+YQR*Q*R+YP*U*P+YR*U*R+YVQ*V*Q+
&          YWP*W*P+YWR*W*R+YV*U*V+YVW*V*W+YDRS*U**2*DRS+
&          YDRB*U**2*DRB+(WEIGHT-BOY)*
&          COS(THETA)*SIN(PHI)+MASS*W*P+MASS*YG*P**2+SWAY
C
C      HEAVE FORCE
C
      FP(3) = MASS*U*Q-MASS*V*P-MASS*XG*P*R-MASS*YG*Q*R+
&          MASS*ZG*P**2+MASS*ZG*Q**2+ZPP*P**2+
&          ZPR*P*R+ZRR*R**2+ZQ*
&          U*Q+ZVP*V*P+ZVR*V*R+ZW*U*W+ZVV*V**2+HEAVE+
&          U**2*(ZDS*DS+ZDB*DB)+(WEIGHT-BOY)*
&          COS(THETA)*COS(PHI)
C
C      ROLL MOMENT
C
      FP(4) = -IZ*Q*R+IY*Q*R-IXY*P*R+IYZ*Q**2-
&          IYZ*R**2+IXZ*P*Q+MASS*YG*U*Q-MASS*
&          YG*V*P-MASS*ZG*W*P+KPQ*P*Q+KQR*Q*R+
&          KP*U*P+KR*U*R+KVQ*V*Q+KWP*W*P+
&          KWR*W*R+KV*U*V+KVW*V*W+(YG*WEIGHT-YB*BOY)*
&          COS(THETA)*COS(PHI)-(ZG*WEIGHT-
&          ZB*BOY)*COS(THETA)*SIN(PHI)+MASS*ZG*U*R
C
C      PITCH MOMENT
C
      FP(5) = -IX*P*R+IZ*P*R+IXY*Q*R-IYZ*P*Q-
&          IXZ*P**2+IXZ*R**2-MASS*XG*U*Q+
&          MASS*XG*V*P+MASS*ZG*V*R-
&          MASS*ZG*W*Q+MPP*P**2+
&          MPR*P*R+MRR*R**2+MQ*
&          U*Q+MVP*V*P+MVR*V*R+MW*U*W+
&          MVV*V**2+U**2*(MDS*DS+MDB*DB)-(XG*WEIGHT-
&          XB*BOY)*COS(THETA)*COS(PHI)-
&          (ZG*WEIGHT-ZB*BOY)*SIN(THETA)+PITCH
C
C      YAW MOMENT
C
      FP(6) = -IY*P*Q+IX*P*Q+IXY*P**2-IXY*Q**2+IYZ*P*R-
&          IXZ*Q*R-MASS*XG*U*R+MASS*XG*W*P-MASS*YG*
&          V*R+MASS*YG*W*Q+NPQ*P*Q+NQR*Q*R+NP*U*P+NR*
&          U*R+NVQ*V*Q+NWP*W*P+NWR*W*R+NV*U*V+
&          NVW*V*W+NDRS*U**2*DRS+NDRB*U**2*DRB+
&          (XG*WEIGHT-XB*BOY)*COS(THETA)*SIN(PHI)+
&          (YG*WEIGHT-YB*BOY)*SIN(THETA)+YAW
C

```

```

C
C      COMPUTE THE RIGHT HAND SIDE OF XDOT=F(X)
C
      DO 610 J = 1,6
        F(J) = 0.0
        DO 611 K = 1,6
          F(J) = XMMINV(J,K)*FP(K) + F(J)
611      CONTINUE
610      CONTINUE
C
C      INERTIAL POSITION RATES
C
      F(7) = U*COS(PSI)*COS(THETA)+V*(COS(PSI)*SIN(THETA)*
&          SIN(PHI)-SIN(PSI)*COS(PHI))+W*(COS(PSI)*
&          SIN(THETA)*COS(PHI)+SIN(PSI)*SIN(PHI))
C
      F(8) = U*SIN(PSI)*COS(THETA)+V*(SIN(PSI)*SIN(THETA)*
&          SIN(PHI)+COS(PSI)*COS(PHI))+W*(SIN(PSI)*
&          SIN(THETA)*COS(PHI)-COS(PSI)*SIN(PHI))
C
      F(9) = -U*SIN(THETA)+V*COS(THETA)*SIN(PHI)+
&          W*COS(THETA)*COS(PHI)
C
C      EULER ANGLE RATES
C
      F(10)= P+Q*SIN(PHI)*TAN(THETA)+R*COS(PHI)*TAN(THETA)
C
      F(11)= Q*COS(PHI)-R*SIN(PHI)
C
      F(12)= Q*SIN(PHI)/COS(THETA)+R*COS(PHI)/COS(THETA)
C
C      ASSIGN XDOT VECTOR
C
      UDOT = F(1)
      VDOT = F(2)
      WDOT = F(3)
      PDOT = F(4)
      QDOT = F(5)
      RDOT = F(6)
      XDOT = F(7)
      YDOT = F(8)
      ZDOT = F(9)
      PHIDOT = F(10)
      THEDOT = F(11)
      PSIDOT = F(12)
C
C      FIRST ORDER INTEGRATION
C
      U = U + DELTA*UDOT
      V = V + DELTA*VDOT
      W = W + DELTA*WDOT

```



```

P      = P      + DELTA*PDOT
Q      = Q      + DELTA*QDOT
R      = R      + DELTA*RDOT
XPOS   = XPOS   + DELTA*XDOT
YPOS   = YPOS   + DELTA*YDOT
ZPOS   = ZPOS   + DELTA*ZDOT
PHI     = PHI    + DELTA*PHIDOT
THETA  = THETA  + DELTA*THEDOT
PSI     = PSI    + DELTA*PSIDOT

```

VELOCITY INPUT CALCULATION

```

UC=U0
IF (UC.GE.UMAX) UC=UMAX
IF (UC.LE.UMIN) UC=UMIN

```

RPM INPUT CALCULATION

```

RPM0=UC/ALPHA
RPM=RPM0+KN*(U-UC)
IF (RPM.GE.RPMMAX) RPM=RPMMAX
IF (RPM.LE.RPMMIN) RPM=RPMMIN

```

COORDINATE TRANSFORMATIONS

```

XCTEH= (YPOS-YD1)*SIN(ALPHAH)+(XPOS-XD1)*COS(ALPHAH)
YCTE = (YPOS-YD1)*COS(ALPHAH)-(XPOS-XD1)*SIN(ALPHAH)
ZCTE = (ZPOS-ZD1)*COS(ALPHAV)+XCTEH*SIN(ALPHAV)
XCTEV=-(ZPOS-ZD1)*SIN(ALPHAV)+XCTEH*COS(ALPHAV)

```

HIT CRITERIA

```

VTOTAL=(XD2-XD1)**2+(ZD2-ZD1)**2
VTOTAL=SQRT(VTOTAL)
HTOTAL=(XD2-XD1)**2+(YD2-YD1)**2
HTOTAL=SQRT(HTOTAL)
VAWAY =VTOTAL-XCTEV
VAWAY =ABS(VAWAY)
HAWAY =HTOTAL-XCTEH
HAWAY =ABS(HAWAY)
IF ((VAWAY.LT.TARGET).OR.(HAWAY.LT.TARGET)) GO TO
&      101

```

DIVE PLANE INPUT CALCULATION

```

ZPHI=ZCTE
SIGV=ATAN(ZPHI/XDV)
DS=K1V*(THETA-ALPHAV-SIGV)+K2V*W+K3V*Q+K4V

IF (DS.GE. 0.4) DS= 0.4
IF (DS.LE.-0.4) DS=-0.4

```

```

C      DB=-DS
C
C      RUDDER INPUT CALCULATION
C
      YPHI=YCTE
      SIGH=-ATAN(YPHI/XDH)
      DRS=K1H*(PSI-ALPHAH-SIGH)+K2H*V+K3H*R
C
      IF (DRS.GE. 0.4) DRS= 0.4
      IF (DRS.LE.-0.4) DRS=-0.4
C
      DRB=-DRS
C
C      PRINT RESULTS
C
      TIME=I*DELTA
      JE=JE+1
      IF (JE.NE.IECHO) GO TO 99
      JE=0
99      JPRNT=JPRNT+1
      IF (JPRNT.NE.IPRNT) GO TO 100
      IJK=IJK+1
      TIME=I*DELTA
      WRITE (11,*) XPOS/L,YPOS/L
      WRITE (12,*) XPOS/L,ZPOS/L
      WRITE (13,*) TIME,DRS*180.0/PI
      WRITE (14,*) TIME,DS*180.0/PI
      WRITE (15,*) TIME,YCTE/L
      WRITE (16,*) TIME,ZCTE/L
      WRITE (17,*) XPOS/L,YPOS/L,ZPOS/L
      WRITE (18,*) TIME,U
      WRITE (19,*) TIME,RPM
      WRITE (20,*) TIME,PHI*180.0/PI
      WRITE (21,*) TIME,(THETA-ALPHAV)*180.0/PI
      WRITE (22,*) TIME,(PSI-ALPHAH)*180.0/PI
      WRITE (23,*) TIME,V
      WRITE (24,*) TIME,R
      WRITE (25,*) TIME,W
      WRITE (26,*) TIME,Q
      WRITE (27,*) YPOS/L,ZPOS/L
      JPRNT=0
C
100      CONTINUE
      GO TO 500
101      ISTART=ICOUNT
200      CONTINUE
500      STOP
201      FORMAT (' HEADING FOR (X,Y,Z) = ( ',F9.3,' , ',F9.3,' ,
&          ',F9.3' )')
      END

```



```

C
C=====
C
SUBROUTINE TRAP(N,A,B,OUT)
C
C   NUMERICAL INTEGRATION ROUTINE USING THE TRAPEZOIDAL RULE
C
  DIMENSION A(1),B(1)
  N1=N-1
  OUT=0.0
  DO 1 I=1,N1
    OUT1=0.5*(A(I)+A(I+1))*(B(I+1)-B(I))
    OUT =OUT+OUT1
1 CONTINUE
  RETURN
  END
C
C=====
C
SUBROUTINE INVTA(MM,N,INDX,D)
  PARAMETER (NMAX=100,TINY=1.0E-20)
  DIMENSION INDX(6),VV(NMAX)
  REAL MM(6,6)
  D=1
  DO 12 I=1,N
    AAMAX=0.
    DO 11 J=1,N
      IF(ABS(MM(I,J)).GT.AAMAX) AAMAX=ABS(MM(I,J))
11 CONTINUE
      IF (AAMAX.EQ.0.) PAUSE 'SINGULAR MATRIX'
      VV(I)=1./AAMAX
12 CONTINUE
    DO 19 J=1,N
      DO 14 I=1,J-1
        SUM=MM(I,J)
        DO 13 K=1,I-1
          SUM=SUM-MM(I,K)*MM(K,J)
13 CONTINUE
          MM(I,J)=SUM
14 CONTINUE
        AAMAX=0.
        DO 16 I=J,N
          SUM=MM(I,J)
          DO 15 K=1,J-1
            SUM=SUM-MM(I,K)*MM(K,J)
15 CONTINUE
            MM(I,J)=SUM
            DUM=VV(I)*ABS(SUM)
            IF (DUM.GE.AAMAX) THEN
              IMAX=I
              AAMAX=DUM

```

```

      ENDIF
16      CONTINUE
      IF (J.NE.IMAX) THEN
          DO 17 K=1,N
              DUM=MM( IMAX,K)
              MM( IMAX,K)=MM( J,K)
              MM( J,K)=DUM
17      CONTINUE
          D=-D
          VV( IMAX)=VV( J)
      ENDIF
      INDX( J)=IMAX
      IF(MM( J,J).EQ.0.)MM( J,J)=TINY
      IF( J.NE.N) THEN
          DUM=1./MM( J,J)
          DO 18 I=J+1,N
              MM( I,J)=MM( I,J)*DUM
18      CONTINUE
      ENDIF
19      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END

```

C
C=====
C

```

      SUBROUTINE INVTB(MM,N,INDX,B)
      DIMENSION INDX(N),B(N)
      REAL MM(6,6)
      II=0.
      DO 12 I=1,N
          LL=INDX(I)
          SUM=B(LL)
          B(LL)=B(I)
          IF (II.NE.0) THEN
              DO 11 J=II,I-1
                  SUM=SUM-MM( I,J)*B(J)
11          CONTINUE
              ELSE IF (SUM.NE.0) THEN
                  II=I
              ENDIF
          B(I)=SUM
12      CONTINUE
          DO 14 I=N,1,-1
              SUM=B(I)
              IF (I.LT.N) THEN
                  DO 13 J=I+1,N
                      SUM=SUM-MM( I,J)*B(J)
13          CONTINUE
              ENDIF
              B(I)=SUM/MM( I,I)
14      CONTINUE

```

RETURN
END

APPENDIX B

```

C      PROGRAM VERT_STAB.FOR
C
C      REGIONS OF STABILITY - VERTICAL PLANE
C      PARAMETERS ARE: XD AND TV
C      NUMERICAL OR ANALYTIC COMPUTATION
C      IT NEEDS FILE "SUBRTNS.FOR" OR ANY STANDARD EIGENVALUE
C      SOLVER
C
C      IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
C      DOUBLE PRECISION K1V,K2V,K3V,L
C      DOUBLE PRECISION MQDOT,MQ,MW,MWDOT,MDS,MDB,MASS,IY
C      DIMENSION A(4,4),FV1(4),IV1(4),ZZZ(4,4),WR(4),WI(4)
C
C      OPEN (10,FILE='BIF0.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (11,FILE='BIF1.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (12,FILE='BIF2.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (13,FILE='BIF3.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C
C      WEIGHT=12000.0
C      IY      = 9450.0
C      L       = 17.425
C      RHO     = 1.94
C      G       = 32.2
C      XG      = 0.0
C      ZB      = 0.0
C      MASS    =WEIGHT/G
C      BOY     =WEIGHT
C      ZQDOT   =-6.810E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      ZWDOT   =-2.430E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      ZQ      =-1.350E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      ZW      =-3.020E-01*0.5*RHO*L**2
C      ZDS     =-2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
C      ZDB     =-2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
C      MQDOT   =-1.680E-02*0.5*RHO*L**5
C      MWDOT   =-6.810E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      MQ      =-6.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      MW      = 9.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      MDS     =-1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      MDB     = 1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C
C      WRITE (*,1001)
C      READ  (*,*)      TVMIN,TVMAX,ITV
C      WRITE (*,1002)
C      READ  (*,*)      XDMIN,XDMAX,IXD

```

```

XDMIN=XDMIN*L
XDMAX=XDMAX*L
WRITE (*,1003)
READ (*,*) U,ZG
WRITE (*,1004)
READ (*,*) ISOL

```

C
C
C

AUXILIARY VARIABLES

```

DV =(MASS-ZWDOT)*(IY-MQDOT)-ZQDOT*MWDOT
A11V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZW+ZQDOT*MW)/DV
A12V=((IY-MQDOT)*(ZQ+MASS)+ZQDOT*MQ)/DV
A13V=-(ZG-ZB)*(MASS*XG+ZQDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
A21V=(MWDOT*ZW+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MW)/DV
A22V=(MWDOT*(ZQ+MASS)+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MQ)/DV
A23V=-(ZG-ZB)*(MASS-ZWDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
B11V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZDS+ZQDOT*MDS)/DV
B12V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZDB+ZQDOT*MDB)/DV
B21V=(MWDOT*ZDS+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MDS)/DV
B22V=(MWDOT*ZDB+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MDB)/DV
B1V =B11V-B12V
B2V =B21V-B22V

```

C

```

EPS =1.D-5
ILMAX=1500

```

C
C
C

LOOP OVER TV

```

DO 1 I=1,ITV
  WRITE (*,2001) I,ITV
  TV=TVMIN+(I-1)*(TVMAX-TVMIN)/(ITV-1)
  OMEGAV=(10.0*U)/(TV*L)
  AD1V=1.75*OMEGAV
  AD2V=2.15*OMEGAV**2
  AD3V=OMEGAV**3
  A2=B1V*U*U
  A3=B2V*U*U
  D1=-AD1V-(A11V+A22V)*U
  B1=-B2V*U*U
  B2=(B1V*A22V-B2V*A12V)*U**3
  B3=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U**3
  D2=AD2V+A23V+(A12V*A21V-A11V*A22V)*U**2
  C1=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U**3
  C2=(A23V*B1V-A13V*B2V)*U**2
  D3=AD3V+(A13V*A21V-A11V*A23V)*U
  K2V=(A3*B1*D3+C1*B3*D1-D2*C1*A3)
  K2V=K2V/(A3*B1*C2+C1*B3*A2-C1*A3*B2)
  K1V=(D3-C2*K2V)/C1
  K3V=(D1-A2*K2V)/A3
  D333=(A13V*A21V-A11V*A23V)*U
  XAAA=CBRT(-D333)

```

$D334 = (D3 * B2 * C1 * A3 + B3 * C1 * A2 * A3 - B3 * C1 * D1 * C2 - D1 * C1 * C2 * A3)$
 $D335 = B3 * C1 * A2 + B2 * C1 * A3 - B1 * C2 * A3$
 $D336 = D334 / D335$
 $XBBB = CBRT(D336)$

C
C
C

ANALYTICAL COMPUTATION

IF (ZG.NE.0.0) TVCR1=(10.*U)/(XAAA*L)
 IF (ZG.NE.0.0) TVCR2=(10.*U)/(XAAA*L)
 IF (ISOL.EQ.0) GO TO 22
 $CXD2 = AD3V * (AD1V * AD2V - AD3V)$
 $CXD1 = -(B2 + A3 * U) * K1V * (AD1V * AD2V - AD3V) + AD3V * K1V * (A2 * AD1V + B2 + A3 * U) - AD1V * AD1V * K1V * (-C2 + C1 * U)$
 $CXD0 = -(B2 + A3 * U) * K1V * K1V * (AD1V * A2 + B2 + A3 * U)$
 $DET = CXD1 * CXD1 - 4.0 * CXD2 * CXD0$
 IF (DET.LT.0.0) GO TO 1
 $XD1 = (-CXD1 + DSQRT(DET)) / (2.0 * CXD2)$
 $XD2 = (-CXD1 - DSQRT(DET)) / (2.0 * CXD2)$
 IF (XD1.NE.0.0)
 & $VAL1 = AD3V + ((B2V * A12V - B1V * A22V - B2V) * K1V * U ** 3) / XD1$
 IF (XD2.NE.0.0)
 & $VAL2 = AD3V + ((B2V * A12V - B1V * A22V - B2V) * K1V * U ** 3) / XD2$
 GO TO 23

C
C
C
C

NUMERICAL COMPUTATION

LOOP OVER XD

22 DO 2 J=1,IXD
 $XD = XDMIN + (J - 1) * (XDMAX - XDMIN) / (IXD - 1)$
 $THETA = 0.0D0$
 $CT = DCOS(THETA)$
 $ST = DSIN(THETA)$
 $W = 0.0D0$
 $A(1,1) = 0.0D0$
 $A(1,2) = 0.0D0$
 $A(1,3) = 1.0D0$
 $A(1,4) = 0.0D0$
 $A(2,1) = B1V * U * U * K1V + A13V * CT$
 $A(2,2) = B1V * U * U * K2V + A11V * U$
 $A(2,3) = B1V * U * U * K3V + A12V * U$
 $A(2,4) = -B1V * U * U * K1V / XD$
 $A(3,1) = B2V * U * U * K1V + A23V * CT$
 $A(3,2) = B2V * U * U * K2V + A21V * U$
 $A(3,3) = B2V * U * U * K3V + A22V * U$
 $A(3,4) = -B2V * U * U * K1V / XD$
 $A(4,1) = -U * CT - W * ST$
 $A(4,2) = CT$
 $A(4,3) = 0.0D0$
 $A(4,4) = 0.0D0$

C
C

COMPUTE EIGENVALUES

```

C      CALL RG(4,4,A,WR,WI,0,ZZZ,IV1,FV1,IERR)
      CALL DSTABL(DEOS,WR,WI,FREQ)
C
      IF (J.GT.1) GO TO 10
      DEOSOO=DEOS
      XD00  =XD
      LL=0
      GO TO 2
10     DEOSNN=DEOS
      XDNN  =XD
      PR=DEOSNN*DEOSOO
      IF (PR.GT.0.D0) GO TO 3
      LL=LL+1
      IF (LL.GT.3) STOP 1000
      IL=0
      XDO=XD00
      XDN=XDNN
      DEOSO=DEOSOO
      DEOSN=DEOSNN
6     XDL=XDO
      XDR=XDN
      DEOSL=DEOSO
      DEOSR=DEOSN
      XD=(XDL+XDR)/2.D0
      A(1,1)=0.0D0
      A(1,2)=0.0D0
      A(1,3)=1.0D0
      A(1,4)=0.0D0
      A(2,1)=B1V*U*U*K1V+A13V*CT
      A(2,2)=B1V*U*U*K2V+A11V*U
      A(2,3)=B1V*U*U*K3V+A12V*U
      A(2,4)=-B1V*U*U*K1V/XD
      A(3,1)=B2V*U*U*K1V+A23V*CT
      A(3,2)=B2V*U*U*K2V+A21V*U
      A(3,3)=B2V*U*U*K3V+A22V*U
      A(3,4)=-B2V*U*U*K1V/XD
      A(4,1)=-U*CT-W*ST
      A(4,2)=CT
      A(4,3)=0.0D0
      A(4,4)=0.0D0
C
      CALL RG(4,4,A,WR,WI,0,ZZZ,IV1,FV1,IERR)
      CALL DSTABL(DEOS,WR,WI,FREQ)
C
      DEOSM=DEOS
      XDM=XD
      PRL=DEOSL*DEOSM
      PRR=DEOSR*DEOSM
      IF (PRL.GT.0.D0) GO TO 5
      XDO=XDL

```



```

      XDN=XDM
      DEOSO=DEOSL
      DEOSN=DEOSM
      IL=IL+1
      IF (IL.GT.ILMAX) STOP 3100
      DIF=DABS(XDL-XDM)
      IF (DIF.GT.EPS) GO TO 6
      XD=XDM
      GO TO 4
5      IF (PRR.GT.0.D0) STOP 3200
      XDO=XDM
      XDN=XDR
      DEOSO=DEOSM
      DEOSN=DEOSR
      IL=IL+1
      IF (IL.GT.ILMAX) STOP 3100
      DIF=DABS(XDM-XDR)
      IF (DIF.GT.EPS) GO TO 6
      XD=XDM
4      LLL=10+LL
      WRITE (LLL,*) XD/L,TV
3      XDOO=XDNN
      DEOSOO=DEOSNN
2      CONTINUE
      GO TO 1
23     IF (VAL1.GT.0.0) WRITE (11,*) XD1/L,TV
      IF (VAL2.GT.0.0) WRITE (12,*) XD2/L,TV
1      CONTINUE
      IF (ZG.NE.0.0) WRITE (10,*) XDMIN/L,TVCR1
      IF (ZG.NE.0.0) WRITE (10,*) XDMAX/L,TVCR1
C
1001  FORMAT (' ENTER MIN, MAX, AND INCREMENTS OF TV')
1002  FORMAT (' ENTER MIN, MAX, AND INCREMENTS OF XD')
1003  FORMAT (' ENTER U AND ZG')
1004  FORMAT (' ENTER 0 : NUMERICAL',/,
&      '          1 : ANALYTICAL')
2001  FORMAT (2I5)
      END
C
      SUBROUTINE DSTABL(DEOS,WR,WI,OMEGA)
      IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
      DIMENSION WR(4),WI(4)
      DEOS=-1.0D+20
      DO 1 I=1,4
        IF (WR(I).LT.DEOS) GO TO 1
        DEOS=WR(I)
        IJ=I
1      CONTINUE
      OMEGA=WI(IJ)
      OMEGA=DABS(OMEGA)
      RETURN

```

END

C

```
FUNCTION CBRT(A)
  IF (A.GT.0.0) CBRT=  A ** (1./3.)
  IF (A.LE.0.0) CBRT=-(-A) ** (1./3.)
  RETURN
END
```

APPENDIX C

```

C      PROGRAM VERT_STEADY.FOR
C
C      COMPUTATION OF STEADY STATE SOLUTIONS IN THE VERTICAL
C      PLANE
C      (CHAPTER III, PARAGRAPH F)
C
C      REAL K1V,K2V,K3V,L,MQDOT,MQ,MW,MWDOT,MDS,MDB,MASS,IY
C
C      WEIGHT=12000.0
C      IY      = 9450.0
C      L       = 17.425
C      RHO     = 1.94
C      G       = 32.2
C      XG      = 0.0
C      ZB      = 0.0
C      MASS    =WEIGHT/G
C      BOY     =WEIGHT
C
C      ZQDOT   =-6.810E-03*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      ZWDOT   =-2.430E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      ZQ      =-1.350E-01*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      ZW      =-3.020E-01*0.5*RHO*L**2
C      ZDS     =-2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
C      ZDB     =-2.270E-02*0.5*RHO*L**2
C
C      MQDOT   =-1.680E-02*0.5*RHO*L**5
C      MWDOT   =-6.810E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      MQ      =-6.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**4
C      MW      = 9.860E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      MDS     =-1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C      MDB     = 1.113E-02*0.5*RHO*L**3
C
C      OPEN (11,FILE='THETA1.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (12,FILE='THETA2.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (13,FILE='THETA3.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (14,FILE='THETA4.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (21,FILE='DELTA1.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C      OPEN (22,FILE='DELTA2.RES',STATUS='NEW')
C
C      SAT =0.4
C      SATP= SAT
C      SATM=-SAT
C      PI  =4.0*ATAN(1.0)
C

```

```

WRITE (*,1001)
READ (*,*)      IVAR
GO TO (10,20,30), IVAR
10 WRITE (*,1002)
READ (*,*)      UMIN,UMAX,IU
INCR=IU
WRITE (*,1003)
READ (*,*)      ZG
WRITE (*,1006)
READ (*,*)      TV
GO TO 15
20 WRITE (*,1004)
READ (*,*)      ZGMIN,ZGMAX,IZG
INCR=IZG
WRITE (*,1005)
READ (*,*)      U
WRITE (*,1006)
READ (*,*)      TV
GO TO 15
30 WRITE (*,1007)
READ (*,*)      TVMIN,TVMAX,ITV
INCR=ITV
WRITE (*,1003)
READ (*,*)      ZG
WRITE (*,1005)
READ (*,*)      U

```

C

```

15 DO 1 I=1,INCR
  IF (IVAR.EQ.1) U =UMIN +(UMAX -UMIN )*(I-1)/(INCR-1)
  IF (IVAR.EQ.2) ZG=ZGMIN+(ZGMAX-ZGMIN)*(I-1)/(INCR-1)
  IF (IVAR.EQ.3) TV=TVMIN+(TVMAX-TVMIN)*(I-1)/(INCR-1)
  DV =(MASS-ZWDOT)*(IY-MQDOT)-ZQDOT*MWDOT
  A11V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZW+ZQDOT*MW)/DV
  A12V=((IY-MQDOT)*(ZQ+MASS)+ZQDOT*MQ)/DV
  A13V=- (ZG-ZB)*(MASS*XG+ZQDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
  A21V=(MWDOT*ZW+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MW)/DV
  A22V=(MWDOT*(ZQ+MASS)+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MQ)/DV
  A23V=- (ZG-ZB)*(MASS-ZWDOT)*WEIGHT/DV
  B11V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZDS+ZQDOT*MDS)/DV
  B12V=((IY-MQDOT)*ZDB+ZQDOT*MDB)/DV
  B21V=(MWDOT*ZDS+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MDS)/DV
  B22V=(MWDOT*ZDB+(MASS-ZWDOT)*MDB)/DV
  B1V =B11V-B12V
  B2V =B21V-B22V

```

C

```

OMEGAV=(10.0*U)/(TV*L)
AD1V=1.75*OMEGAV
AD2V=2.15*OMEGAV**2
AD3V=OMEGAV**3
A2=B1V*U*U
A3=B2V*U*U

```

```

D1=-AD1V-(A11V+A22V)*U
B1=-B2V*U*U
B2=(B1V*A22V-B2V*A12V)*U**3
B3=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U**3
D2=AD2V+A23V+(A12V*A21V-A11V*A22V)*U**2
C1=(B2V*A11V-B1V*A21V)*U**3
C2=(A23V*B1V-A13V*B2V)*U**2
D3=AD3V+(A13V*A21V-A11V*A23V)*U
K2V=(A3*B1*D3+C1*B3*D1-D2*C1*A3)
K2V=K2V/(A3*B1*C2+C1*B3*A2-C1*A3*B2)
K1V=(D3-C2*K2V)/C1
K3V=(D1-A2*K2V)/A3

```

C

```

IF (IVAR.EQ.1) OUT=U
IF (IVAR.EQ.2) OUT=ZG
IF (IVAR.EQ.3) OUT=TV
D3P=(A13V*A21V-A11V*A23V)*U
XAAA=CBRT(-D3P)
TVCR=(10.*U)/(XAAA*L)
IF (TV.LT.TVCR) GO TO 1

```

C

```

CALL SOLSET(INUM,THSOLS,K1V,C1,AD3V,SSTH)
ICHECK=0
DO 2 III=1,INUM
  THCH=2.0*(THSOLS-0.5*PI)
  CHECK=SIN(THCH)*(D3-AD3V)/C1
  IF (ABS(CHECK).GT.SATP) GO TO 2
  WRITE (13,*) OUT, THCH*180.0/PI
  WRITE (14,*) OUT, -THCH*180.0/PI
  WRITE (21,*) OUT, ABS(CHECK)*180.0/PI
  ICHECK=1

```

```

2 CONTINUE
IF (ICHECK.EQ.0) GO TO 3
GO TO 1

```

C

```

3 STHETA=SATP*C1/(D3-AD3V)
SSTH=ASIN(STHETA)
THETA1= SSTH*180.0/PI
THETA2=-SSTH*180.0/PI
WRITE (11,*) OUT,THETA1
WRITE (12,*) OUT,THETA2
WRITE (22,*) OUT, SATP*180.0/PI

```

```

1 CONTINUE
STOP

```

```

1001 FORMAT (' ENTER 1 : U VARIATION',/,
&          '          2 : ZG VARIATION',/,
&          '          3 : TV VARIATION')
1002 FORMAT (' ENTER MIN, MAX, AND INCREMENTS IN U')
1003 FORMAT (' ENTER ZG')
1004 FORMAT (' ENTER MIN, MAX, AND INCREMENTS IN ZG')
1005 FORMAT (' ENTER U')

```

```

1006 FORMAT (' ENTER TV')
1007 FORMAT (' ENTER MIN, MAX, AND INCREMENTS IN TV')
      END
C
      FUNCTION CBRT(A)
      IF (A.GT.0.0) CBRT=  A **(1./3.)
      IF (A.LE.0.0) CBRT=-(-A)**(1./3.)
      RETURN
      END
C
      SUBROUTINE SOLSET(L,ANS,K1V,C1,AD3V,SSTH)
      REAL K1V
      DIMENSION VF(1,2)
C
      PI=4.0*ATAN(1.0)
C
C      FIND FIRST ESTIMATE OF THE SOLUTIONS
      L=0
      VMIN=  0.0
      VMAX=+90.0
      IV=100
      VA=VMIN*PI/180.0
      VAO=VA
      VO=THETEQ(1,VA,K1V,C1,AD3V)
      DO 10 I=2,IV
          VA=VMIN+(VMAX-VMIN)*(I-1)/(IV-1)
          VA=VA*PI/180.0
          VAN=VA
          VN=THETEQ(1,VA,K1V,C1,AD3V)
          VP=VO*VN
          IF (VP.GE.0.0) GO TO 11
          L=L+1
          VF(L,1)=VAO
          VF(L,2)=VAN
          GO TO 12
11      VO=VN
          VAO=VAN
10      CONTINUE
C
C      EXACT COMPUTATION OF SOLUTIONS VIA NEWTON'S METHOD
12      E=1.E-5
      IEND=500
      DO 20 J=1,L
          X=(VF(J,1)+VF(J,2))/2.0
          F=THETEQ(1,X,K1V,C1,AD3V)
          FDER=THETEQ(2,X,K1V,C1,AD3V)
          DO 30 K=1,IEND
              IF (FDER.EQ.0.0) STOP 1001
              DX=F/FDER
              X1=X-DX
              F=THETEQ(1,X1,K1V,C1,AD3V)
          30
      20

```

```

        FDER=THETEQ(2,X1,K1V,C1,AD3V)
        IF (F.EQ.0.) GO TO 35
        A=ABS(X1-X)
        IF (A-E) 35,35,40
40      X=X1
30      CONTINUE
        GO TO 20
35      ANS=X1
20      CONTINUE
        RETURN
        END

```

C

```

        FUNCTION THETEQ(K,THETA,K1V,C1,AD3V)
        REAL K1V
        GO TO (10,20), K
10      THETEQ=K1V*C1*THETA+(AD3V-K1V*C1)*COS(THETA)
        GO TO 50
20      THETEQ=K1V*C1-(AD3V-K1V*C1)*SIN(THETA)
50      RETURN
        END

```


LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Lienard, D.L. (1990) "Autopilot design for autonomous underwater vehicles based on sliding mode control", M.E. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
2. Sur, J.-N. (1989) "Design and investigation of a dive plane sliding mode compensator for an autonomous underwater vehicle", M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
3. Chism, S. (1990) "Robust path tracking of autonomous underwater vehicles using sliding modes", M.E. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
4. Hawkinson, T. (1990) "Multiple input sliding mode control for autonomous diving and steering of underwater vehicles", M.E. Thesis Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
5. Kanyama, Y, and Hartman, B.I. (1989) "Smooth local path planning for autonomous vehicles", Proceedings, IEEE international Conference on Robotics and Automation, Scottsdale, Arizona.

6. Suwandee, P. (1991) "Orientation guidance and control for marine vehicles in the horizontal plane", M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School.

7. Papoulias, F.A. (1991) "Stability considerations of guidance and control laws for autonomous underwater vehicles in the horizontal plane", Proceedings, 7th International Symposium on Unmanned Underwater Submersible Technology, Durham, New Hampshire.

8. Smith, N.S., Crane, J.W., and Summery, D.C. (1978) "SDV Simulator hydrodynamic coefficients", Naval Coastal Systems Center, Panama City, Florida, Report No. NCSC - TM231 - 78.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No.Copies
1. Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	2
2. Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5002	2
3. Dr. Fotis A. Papoulias, Code 69Pa Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	2
4. Chairman, Code 69Hy Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
5. Embassy of Greece Naval Attache 2228 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20008	5
6. Agelos G. Papasotiriou Polemi 3 Patisia Athens, Greece	3

Thesis
P14736 Papasotiriou
c.1 Three dimensional
pursuit guidance and
control of submersible
vehicles.

Thesis
P14736 Papasotiriou
c.1 Three dimensional
pursuit guidance and
control of submersible
vehicles.

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY



3 2768 00032014 7